

Submission on the Review of the Native Vegetation Regulation

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am concerned about serious problems with the native vegetation laws.

I am concerned that the current Review has ignored major economic, social and environmental costs imposed by the Native Vegetation Regulation.

For these reasons, I reject the proposed Native Vegetation Regulation.

I call on the government to move towards the repeal of the Native Vegetation Act and Regulation by re-doing the Regulatory Impact Statement properly.

I submit that the Regulatory Impact Statement must wherever possible quantify the costs of the Regulation that were ignored, including:

- the extent to which the native vegetation Regulation promotes weeds, and is actively worse for biodiversity, sustainability and native ecology
- the costs in terms of reduced productivity; and costs to recover lost productivity
- the cost of all federal, state and local government salaries, taxation, superannuation, all leave categories, offices, cars, phones, mobile phones, training, travel, and accommodation devoted to promoting, making, administering and enforcing the native vegetation laws
- the number of pages of rules and regulations a farmer would need to read to know what he can do on his own property and get permission
- the time and money costs to all farmers of compliance, administration and resource allocation
- the number of jobs, businesses and industries destroyed
- the number of people dispossessed of their farms as a result of the native vegetation laws
- costs in terms of rural depression, social breakdown, suicide
- the extent to which the native vegetation laws have reduced local council services including roads; the funds they would need to comply
- the difference between the value of lands with, versus without the Native Vegetation Regulation
- the high value of the principles of innocent-until proven guilty, protection from search without warrant, the privilege against self-incrimination, Constitutional protection of property rights, etc.
- reduction in value of food and clothing produced; and the number of people in the world going without food as a result of the Native Vegetation laws
- the personal costs to the supporters of the legislation.

Yours Sincerely,

 20-8-12

Leo Muller

[REDACTED]
BONVILLE NSW 2441