

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, 25 August 2012 12:12 AM

To: EHPP Landscapes & Ecosystems Section Mailbox

Subject: REVIEW NATIVE VEGETATION REGULATION 2005

Dear Ms Parker

You have already received a very detailed submission from me (through Andrew Constance) which dealt with a number of " green " issues including Native Vegetation legislation . Your negative response regarding " just compensation " came as no surprise considering the nature and weight of the zealous advice you must have received on this request .

Just say that you are now an "old lady " of 79 years of age ; and thirty years ago you mortgaged yourself and family and together with your modest share portfolio , your savings and your home ,you created what you considered to be a reasonably safe and secure asset base for you to call upon in your old age .

Suddenly , one day when you attempted to realize one or several of your assets government regulations had impounded most of your savings and devalued your other assets by 85 % . **WOULD YOU BE ANGRY WITH YOUR GOVERNMENT ?** We put all our savings into our rural property , on the then customary assumption that it was the safest and most reliable income earner for one's lifetime .

We, and many, many others in rural communities on the Eastern Slopes of this State were wrong in doing so.

Is there no room for the creation of a legislated " Sunset Clause " which allows land owners of currently zoned 1C land to subdivide and realize their property values -- free of legislative environmental constraints introduced since ,say, 1990 --- and to be able to do so , until say, end 2014 ?
At least 95 % of the anger and seething anti-government feeling in rural Eastern NSW in particular would subside immediatly .'

Yours faithfully ,

John McConaghy