submitted the survey 'Questionnaire' with the responses below.

What works well in the proposed policy for managing flying-foxes?

We have lived in the Maclean for the past 38 years and reside approximately .5 Km from a huge flying fox camp. In past years local residents who are affect by the bats have been allowed to create noise in daylight hours. This method was 100% successful, took approximately fours days to disburse them and there was no cost to the State Government or Clarence Valley Council.

What could be improved?

People who are not impacted by the flying fox camp and who have no relevant expertise but get caught up in the hype, should not have any input into the management plan. Given the number of flying fox in this camp and its close proximity to urban development and the local High School, the Buffer Zone plan put forward would be a complete waste of time and resources.

From your experience are there other things that could be included?

It is about time that those in power listen to people who are impacted by a particular problem e.g. the flying fox camp. The resident who reside on the southern approach to Maclean and the students who attend the High School have suffered for far too long due to government putting this issue in the 'too hard basket'.

Any other comments?

The residents affect by the camp in Maclean have had to endure the noise, stench and impacts on our health, homes and motor vehicles has gone on long enough. Waiting until May 2015 to disburse the camp will only increase their numbers and prolong the AGONY suffered by those affected.

A	b	0	u	t	V	o	u

Optional

Name

Ray and Pam Osmond