

Anonymous User just submitted the survey 'Biodiversity Legislation Review Questionnaire' with the responses below.

Name

Garry Dew

Email address

[REDACTED]

Theme 1: Objects and principles for biodiversity conservation

Check box to view and respond to questions

Should there be an aspirational goal for biodiversity conservation?

YES BUT SOMEONE HAS TO PAY FOR IT.

Given available evidence about the value and state of the environment, are the existing legislative objects still valid? Do the current objects align with international and national frameworks, agreements, laws, obligations? If not, what objects are required?

NOT SURE.

To what extent are the current objects being met?

OK

Could the objects of the current laws be simplified and integrated? If so, how?

YES-INTERGRATED WITH ONE CONTROLLING BODY.

Theme 2: Conservation action

Check box to view and respond to questions

Is the current system effective in encouraging landowners to generate public benefits from their land and rewarding them as environmental stewards? Or are current mechanisms too focused on requiring private landowners to protect ecosystem services and biodiversity at their own cost?

WHY SHOULD THEY AND YES TO 2 QUESTION.

Are there elements of the current system for private land conservation that raise impediments (for example, binding nature of agreements and potential loss of production) for individuals who want to manage their land for conservation? If so what are they? What incentives might be effective, efficient and equitable in promoting biodiversity conservation on private land?

SOMEONE PAY THEM OR BUY THE LAND.

What should be the role of organisations and bodies, such as the Nature Conservation Trust, in facilitating and managing private land conservation through mechanisms such as conservation and biobanking agreements?

SEE ABOVE - LOCKING UP FOR 99 YEARS IS LITTLE VALUE WITHOUT MANAGEMENT.

How should the government determine priorities for its investment in biodiversity conservation while enabling and encouraging others (e.g. community groups) to contribute to their own biodiversity conservation priorities?

BUY MOST SENSITIVE AREAS.

How can the effectiveness of conservation programs be monitored and evaluated?

BY EXPERTS.

How should any tradeoffs be assessed?

AS ABOVE

To what extent is the system forward looking or dealing with legacy impacts?

DON'T KNOW

To what extent does current practice (rather than the legislation) determine outcomes?

AS ABOVE

Theme 3: Conservation in land use planning

Check box to view and respond to questions

How effective are current arrangements at ensuring biodiversity values are identified early and properly considered in strategic planning systems? How can they be improved?

DON'T KNOW

How effective are current arrangements for delivering strategic outcomes for biodiversity and enhancing ecosystem services? How can they be improved?

AS ABOVE

How should the effectiveness of strategic planning approaches be monitored and evaluated?

BY EXPERTS

Theme 4: Conservation in development approval processes

Check box to view and respond to questions

To what extent has the current framework created inconsistent assessment processes, environmental standards, offset practices and duplicative rules? What can be done to harmonise processes?

IT;S A MESS-ONE CONTROLLING BODY

Can we have a single, integrated approach to the approval of all forms of development, including agricultural development, that is proportionate to the risks involved? If yes, should one methodology (or a harmonised methodology) be used to assess all impacts? Does a need remain for some differences in assessment approaches?

YES

What are the advantages and disadvantages of the different biodiversity assessment methodologies? Are the rules transparent and consistent? Is the way data is used to underpin decisions transparent? Do the assessment methodologies appropriately accommodate social and economic values?

NO NO NO

Does the regulatory system adequately protect listed threatened species, populations and ecological communities? Is there utility in specifically protecting these entities through the regulatory system?

DON'T KNOW

Are there other models (international or Australian) that regulate activities impacting on biodiversity that may be relevant to NSW?

AS ABOVE

Are there other models (international or Australian) that regulate activities impacting on biodiversity that may be relevant to NSW?

No Answer

To what extent has the current regulatory system resulted in lost development opportunities and/or prevented innovative land management practices?

A LOT

Some impacts cannot be offset. What are they? Are these appropriately addressed in approval systems? What is the relevance of social and economic benefits of projects in considering these impacts?

DON'T KNOW

How can offsets be more strategically located?

BY EXPERTS

Are there areas currently regulated that would be better left to self-regulatory codes of practice or accreditation schemes?

WE ARE OVER REGULATED

Theme 5: Wildlife management

Check box to view and respond to questions

Have the threats to biodiversity posed by: (a) people taking animals and plants from the wild, (b) feral animals and weeds, and (c) illegally imported species, been effectively managed?

DON'T KNOW

Has the NPW Act and the supporting policy framework led to a positive change in the welfare of native animals (captive and free-living)? What role if any should the government have in ensuring the welfare of individual native animals – particularly where there are already stand-alone welfare laws such as the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1979?

AS ABOVE

Has the NPW Act and the supporting policy framework led to a positive change in the welfare of native animals (captive and free-living)? What role if any should the government have in ensuring the welfare of individual native animals – particularly where there are already stand-alone welfare laws such as the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1979?

No Answer

Are the provisions for marine mammals effective?

DON'T KNOW

Is the current framework for wildlife licensing, offences and defences, including those applying to threatened species, easily understood? Is the current licensing system too complex? How can it be improved and simplified to focus on conservation outcomes?

AS ABOVE

Is there currently appropriate regulation for the sustainable use and trade of wildlife?

AS ABOVE

Theme 6: Information provisions

Check box to view and respond to questions

What information should be generated about the different kinds of value (for example, monetary and intrinsic value) of biodiversity and other natural assets in NSW?

VALUES NEED TO APPLIED SO OWNERS CAN BE PAID

What type, quality and frequency of data should be collected about biodiversity? Who should be responsible for such a system?

DON'T KNOW

Is current data about biodiversity highly credible and readily accessible? If not, how can quality and access be improved?

AS ABOVE

How effective is the threatened species listing process (including the listing of key threatening processes) in guiding subsequent conservation action?

AS ABOVE

Should threatened species listing decisions be decoupled from decisions on conservation actions (including recovery planning) and regulatory processes?

AS ABOVE

To what extent, if any, does having national and state lists of threatened species cause confusion, regulatory burden or duplication of conservation effort? How could national and state lists be rationalised?

YES CONFUSION DUPLICATION

To what extent is the identification of critical habitat an effective tool for biodiversity conservation? Should we list critical habitat for more species where relevant and useful?

ONLY IF THE AGREES TO AND IS PAID

Should private conservation data be collected and if so how?

DON;T KNOW

Other comments

VERY DIFFICULP SURVEY FOR A LANDOWER TO GIVE VALID ANSWERS