

The Review Panel Biodiversity Legislation To the Panel.

Panel Members.

They - the politician's, still do not see it - *do they? Why do our Governments – both, State and Federal, not recognise morality. **They only recognise winning.** The cost is our – the peoples, utter contempt directed at them, our political elect.....*

The picture is not complicated, just politically hard to swallow. Further these subjects below 1-40 are all connected except to the urban viewer who does not see these items from a rural perspective.

*Subsequently every time there is an attempt to respond, an expensive study must take place first. **Unlike rural dwellers there is no symbiotic connection.** **Regrettably** the rural dwellers have to sit back and watch all this nonsense unfold before there disbelieving eyes.*

Native Vegetation Laws, Regulations, Regulators, are to be viewed holistically. The solution requires that approach - holistic, with commonsense and rural know how.

1. Native Veg Laws Have tipped property rights on their head and in so doing have taken with it our strong social ties to productive growth under the rule of law. (Wolf Gang Kasper paper.)
2. The Native Veg Act affects every aspect of rural life in Australia – *cultural, environmental, vocational and social.*
3. The Native Veg Act having already removed one entire rural generation may well have permanently damaged Australia beyond repair.
4. The Native Veg Act was predominantly for the benefit of political vote winning from the urban Green block. *Google Reece Turner.*
5. The Native Veg Act destroys the true value of rural properties.

6. The Native Veg Act takes away land owners human rights. See NSW the Parliamentary Legislative Review Committee Report No. 6 on the Bill.
7. The Native Veg Act renders all alleged breaches to the criminal code.
8. The Native Veg Act removes the knowledgeable land owner and replaces that with urban ignorance.
9. The Native Veg Act stops the farmer's mandate which is for the sustainable production of food and fibre. Planted from where the best is sourced globally.
10. The Native Veg Act does not affect exotics
11. The Native Veg Act forces the destruction of Bio Diversity by forcing the land owner to only plant or allows growing on his land exotics then he can bulldoze them out as management dictates.
12. The Native Veg Act appeases the mismanagement of National parks – adversely impacting on ***national fauna and flora***. The Parks are not managed. The Park Rangers go to the Parks for visits from suburbia to observe the national fauna and flora. Even when flora is destroyed by over populated fauna, any vestige of fauna culling urgently needed is avoided. The Parks neighbour's farms are expected to carry the extra numbers on an ever expanding circuit. Now three to ten kilometres, from the Park boundary. However over the next 50 years what will happen.....?
13. The Native Veg Act should only be enforced in appropriately fenced National Parks after a censorship is conducted and results continually enforced as to ensure the Parks carrying capacity. As is done at Puckapunyal - annually. (Prof Morgan Melbourne Uni - Zoological Dept).
14. The Native Veg Act does nothing for the environment and who ever looks at bio – diversity ***the real reason for the Act***. There is not one bio - diversity inspector in the State.
15. The Native Veg Act - fix it, the Act, yes, applied only in national Parks when fenced and censored.
16. The Native Veg Act hides the fact that all farmers' private land should also be fences constructed the same as fences bordering airports. The economics are astoundingly beneficial, as there is no longer any

access by feral or native grazing or predating animals especially the numerous nocturnal varieties. *Grazing native animals prefer improved pasture.* Hence they target the best improved pasture land. Native animals, wild dogs, and feral animals will not be restrained by sheep fences - *they are not designed for that.*

17. The Native Veg Act so impends the fuel reductions loads in the Nations Parks that the fires when ignited are so intense the forests - flora and fauna are utterly devastated.....losing all the so call Native Vegetation Act gains.....forever.
18. The Native Veg Act until now fuel load wise, has never impacted on my land. I have 3 cabins in huge mountain Ash forests – *fire has not threatened them for nearly 100 years.*
19. The Native Veg Act takes modern land management practices back 800 years pre Magna Carta - where councils take large % of private land ownership off private land owners in exchange for subdivision approval - ***which is theft***.
20. The Native Veg Act in regard results of fire enquires for years tell the same stories but regrettably all is covered up swept under the table and hidden only to be repeated.
21. The Native Veg Act suppresses the fencing aspect of farm infrastructure as the “Green” Native Vegetation law objective is corridors at the farmers - landowner’s and rural semi rural – the urban divide’s, expense.
22. The Native Veg Act has been the catalyst for the green overlays and corridors extracted from owners of subdivisions used to extract free portions. A high % of overall land applications are shelved until compliance, that being, their land is surrendered to council ownership.
23. The Native Veg Act is also used for high price bonded fuel load and weed covenants which are a form of blackmail, with the Council knowing full well the desperation of the land owner having been forced to the end of their resources – they simply pay it with great resentment.
24. The Native Veg Act is the catalyst that **targets the profit margin** from the **subdivision** and from which local government through

regulations and gifts, grabs most of that profit. It is called the cream from the urban rural divide. Recognised and being a “takings” justified by socialist leaning councils as being a profit belonging to the community. See *“Our Common Future.- Brundtland.” 50 % of Councils now operate under this Green policy. Throwing most retirement plans into cruel chaos.*

25. The Native Veg Act replaces the rural fire fighting organisation with a public service structure at great public cost, with mainly local ignorance and no intergenerational experience passed on.
26. The Native Veg Act has created a withdrawal of rural land owner spontaneous fire fuel load reduction, leaving it to regulatory urban based infused disconnected policies where by each year less economical fuel load reduction is the result, leaving a perpetual threat of increasing proportions.
27. The Native Veg Act stops the natural approach to fuel load reduction which is as much cultural as it is scientific. It begins with the need to live there and be attached to the land. Reduction of fuel loads is constant and side by side with the deep connection to land. As one moves across the land *one feels it.*
28. The Native Veg Act was always to be removed by the current government see Hansard – so why is it still here? Nothing more than to appease the urban Green movement.....(Hansard Stoner)
29. The Native Veg Act regrettably is not law due its positive contribution to society. It is law as the current Government cannot offend the Green urban voter. That is inspite of the fact that they in particular Stoner, have lied. See Hansard Nov 27 2002.
30. The Native Veg Act for 20 years has inflicted unparalleled damages and suffering on the land owner.
31. The Native Veg Act **took – stole** millions of tonnes, all the rights, to the farmer’s firewood. Always a crop to be relied upon in a drought or hard times - falling commodity prices. NOW JUST GONE.
32. The Native Veg Act was the lowest cost of carbon mitigation \$1.15 – the alternative up to \$900.+p ton
33. The Native Veg Act – the real reason was the Kyoto Protocol and the land clearing lock up subsequently reducing emissions by 131mmt for

the Assigned Amounts. 2008 -12. Saving the Commonwealth \$10.6 Billion.

34. The Native Veg Act targets all conversions lease hold to freehold with covenants perpetuating the Native Veg restrictions. Or forced to face unprecedented rises in annual fees....representing thousands of dollars.
35. The Native Veg Act destroys the connection – *that thread forged by that belonging embrace between the land and he who walks it, sleeps in it and embraces all of it as it is - wet dry, hot cold steep or flat*. Its connection is not economic and it is not due soil type, it is deeper than its depth and broader than its geography.
36. The power of lands regarding attachment - its power of belonging is not ethnic; it is comprehensively inclusive and intimately **human** it – the land, seeks that relationship. Subsequently property rights are not empowered due being related to the price evaluation but inspired and that wholesome inspiration is equal to the institutional description of property itself and by that sets it as an institution above all others.
37. The Native Veg Act will be here for a while this government has carried the lie so far for this term and they still have no acceptable way to appease the Green urban vote so they will keep stalling.
38. The Native Veg Act under the Federal Government – yes a State law at the behest of the Commonwealth yes is also a contradiction in real terms. Minister Barnaby Joyce raves on even now, about the laws being Communism and the fact farmers have not being paid, but he does absolutely nothing about it....He is a mouth apparently disconnected from the brain. There appears to be no media person capable of holding any one to task. Apparently it is all just spin. Minister Tanner – Finance Minister under PM Rudd was told in Parliament by Joyce to pay the farmer and Tanner said we - the Commonwealth, *do not have enough money*.
39. **Native Veg Act** Damage can be reduced and public trust infused - it was done after the Coal Act had wrecked havoc. The numbers of land were similar. Apply the Coal Act reconciliation formula. Which is:

The COAL ACQUISITION ACT 1981, Act 2007 No 62 - Coal Acquisition (Compensation) Arrangements 1985, - COAL OWNERSHIP (RESTITUTION) ACT 1990. Then when all was resolved this was followed by the Coal Acquisition Legislation Repeal.

40. The Native Veg Act has become so inflammatory with land owners who are now feeling an entrenched assault against them that has become difficult to find a way that may allow for a response without more anger.

This phenomena may be able to be addressed successfully with the application of these above acts borrowed from the Coal Acquisition - *Re drafted of course to suit the Native Veg Act*, It may just provide trust building, safe anger defusing and a face saving method of resolution.

If the problem was approached using a reconciliatory attitude and with an admission that the entire Native Veg Act got it wrong as Stoner said on Hansard, as it was another government it may just get their – this Governments, foot in the door. ***That is if they do not back peddle again.***

The land owner has to believe his anger is justified. With a road, a path, *to resolve that anger. So the bush can let it all out.*

It's a bit hard saying otherwise when even Howard is saying - he got it wrong. See Howard's London Address, Carr's admission is attached as well - mixed in his first Federal Parliamentary address.

Summary:

Remember one cannot be a little bit pregnant. ***One is pregnant or one is not. Thus this Act – which is Unconstitutional and removes our Human Rights is not capable of being adapted for general application nor should it be – that is other than for within National parks. This is the role of Parks - there are over 700 in NSW alone.***

*That is as long as that management is monitored as they the Parks staff are lost in a perpetual ring of paper chasing and entirely unnecessary expenses. Compare the farm costs per acre with the park coats per acre and compare the productive comparison. **It is the urban “pretend factor”.***

Further and so significantly it – the Native Vegetation Act, disconnects Australia Culturally. The farmer disconnected from the government the community and the farmer disconnected from his land.

There is the rural Australia and the Urban Australia. That urban environment could be any urban environment in the West. This “**palatability**” is what is being thrust down the neck of rural Australia.

Panel members I ASK YOU, what happened last week when the “**onus of proof**” was to be reversed for those travelling to Syria and the Middle East....**There was an unholy out roar.**

Well the “ONUS of Proof” was removed in The Native Veg Act for farmers conducting farming on their land 20 years ago. ***Where was the outcry then and since.....? No one hears us.***

Furthermore, it is most essential the government does not talk “Just Terms” as if it – my land, is the item of targeted dispossession, it can never be just. Likewise if ones daughter is killed, what offer of money is just....no, it, that loss, is absolutely priceless and right there the approach requires an inspired, entirely different orientation...but however it is couched, it will never be just.

We the Land owner have less rights under our own government then the white farmer under Mugabe....this EVIL perpetrated on the Australian farmer with no public outcry is truly astounding and it goes on and on and on....***will you, the Panel members stop it*** – we are civilised.

Please if you have to take our land, do it another way, but not by way of leaving us on it with no naturally flowing connection or communication with it.

Thank you - go well.



There is hope for Australia and what it offers Australians through its sustainable planting and harvest, unfortunately there are too many who see Australia as only a place of harvest.

Summary of Acts:

COAL ACQUISITION ACT 1981

Act 2007 No 62 Coal Acquisition (Compensation) Arrangements 1985

COAL OWNERSHIP (RESTITUTION) ACT 1990

Coal Acquisition Legislation Repeal.