

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Conservation Assessment of *Diuris disposita* D.L.Jones (Orchidaceae)

Marc Freestone 17/07/2025

Consultant

Diuris disposita D.L.Jones (Orchidaceae)

Distribution: Endemic to NSW

Current EPBC Act Status: Not listed

Current NSW BC Act Status: Endangered

Proposed listing on NSW BC Act: Critically Endangered

Reason for change: genuine change based on recent declines in the population.

Summary of Conservation Assessment

Diuris disposita was found to be eligible for listing as Critically Endangered under IUCN Criteria A2b+3b+4b; C1+2a(i). The main reasons for this species being eligible are: (1) it has experienced, and is projected to continue experiencing, a very severe reduction in population size; (2) it has a small population experiencing a very high rate of decline; and (3) it has extremely low numbers of individuals in all subpopulations.



Photo: *Diuris disposita* from Yarravel, credit: Lachlan Copeland © (used with permission).

Description and Taxonomy

Diuris disposita (Willawarrin Doubletail) is a conventionally accepted species (CHAH 2018; PlantNET 2025) within *Diuris*, subgenus *Diuris*, section *Diuris* (Indsto *et al.*

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

2009). *Diuris disposita* was described by Jones (1991) as a terrestrial herb with one or two linear leaves, 15–30 cm long, 4–5 mm wide, conduplicate; bearing a raceme 20–35 cm high, 2–7-flowered, with widely spaced yellow flowers with brown markings on the labellum and dorsal sepal, c. 2 cm across; dorsal sepal ovate, 7–11 mm long, 4–7 mm wide, obliquely erect, margins recurved; lateral sepals linear to oblanceolate, 10–24 mm long, 1.5–2 mm wide, deflexed, parallel or crossed; petals obliquely erect; lamina broad-elliptic to obovate, 6–9 mm long, 4.5–6.5 mm wide; claw 4–7 mm long; labellum 7–9 mm long; lateral lobes linear to oblong, 2–2.8 mm long, 0.8–1.2 mm wide; midlobe narrow-ovate to ovate when flattened, 4–7 mm wide, ridged along midline; callus of 2 divergent, incurved ridges c. 4.5 mm long.

Distribution and Abundance

Diuris disposita occurs near Kempsey on the mid-north coast of New South Wales (NSW). This area lies within the NSW North Coast Bioregion (DAWE 2021).

Diuris disposita occurs across six extant subpopulations (as per the IUCN (2024) definition): at Rollands Plains, Yarravel Nature Reserve (NR), Armidale Rd west of Kempsey, Jacks Crossing, and at two private properties in the Collombatti area (Table 1). One additional subpopulation at Collombatti was last surveyed in 2006 and is possibly still extant (Eco Logical Australia 2019). The Rollands Plains and Yarravel NR subpopulations are currently monitored as part of the Saving Our Species (SoS) Program (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024).

There are five historical records of subpopulations at Temagog Road (last reported in 1986), northwest of Hickey's Creek at Willawarrin (1992), southeast of Kempsey (1992), Dondingalong (1993) and at Skillion NR (undated) (Eco Logical Australia 2019; Table 1). None of these subpopulations have been seen since, despite recent survey effort in 2019 and 2020 at the Temagog Road and Willawarrin sites (Eco Logical Australia 2019), and they may now be extinct. The Temagog Road subpopulation was the type location for the species (Jones 1991).

Apparently suitable habitat (see 'Habitat' below) at Bellbrook and Toms Gully Cemeteries, Old Station State Forest (SF) and Skillion Flat NR were searched in 2020 or 2019, but no plants were found (Eco Logical Australian 2019; 2020). Nevertheless, it is possible that additional undiscovered subpopulations of the species exist, as demonstrated by the recently reported (2022) Collombatti subpopulation from a currently unidentified private property, and the recently discovered (2024) subpopulations at Armidale Rd and Jacks Crossing (Table 1). It is possible that the species is more widespread than currently known, based on a lack of systematic surveys and the cryptic nature of the species (ECA 2019).

The total population size of *Diuris disposita* is estimated at 123–154 plants, based on average subpopulation counts from the last five years for likely extant subpopulations, and projected declines in the Chain O Pools Rd subpopulation in line with that seen in other subpopulations (Table 1). The maximum and minimum population estimate is 115–193 plants, based on the most recent subpopulation counts (115 plants) and maximum subpopulation counts from the last five years (193 plants).

The Rollands Plains subpopulation has been systematically monitored for the longest period of time, with census surveys of flowering plants conducted in and around known patches by botanists specialising in orchids in 2019, 2020, and 2022–2024 (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024; *in litt.* October 2024) following on from an earlier

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

survey in 2005/2006 (L. Copeland pers. comm. April 2024). Over this time, Rollands Plains is reported to have declined from approximately 150 plants in 2005 or 2006, to an average count of 25 plants over the period 2019–2024 including a peak of 61 in 2020 (Table 1). Yarravel NR has similarly had census surveys of flowering plants undertaken by orchid specialists in 2006, 2019, 2020, 2023 and 2024 (Eco Logical Australia 2020; P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024, *in litt.* October 2024) following an earlier survey in 2004 (Eco Logical Australia 2020). Over this time, Yarravel NR is reported to have declined from “less than 20 plants” in 2004 to an average count of four plants over the past five years with a peak of seven in 2019 (Table 1).

Flowering of *D. disposita* is influenced by recent management actions (e.g. slashing of grassland subpopulations) and rainfall conditions, and as a result, the number of flowering plants fluctuates from year to year (Eco Logical Australia 2019). Plants may be dormant or vegetative non-flowering, in which state they are difficult to see among understorey grasses. For this reason, the current abundances at Rollands Plains and Yarravel NR are taken to be the average counts over the survey period 2019–2024, these being 25 and 4 plants respectively.

Table 1. *Diuris disposita* subpopulation information

Subpopulation	Number of plants (year)	References
<i>Likely extant subpopulations</i>		
Rollands Plains	5 (Sept 2024) 0 (2023) 12 (2022) 61 (2020) 48 (Oct 2019) ~150 (around 2005 or 2006)	P. Sheringham <i>in litt.</i> October 2024, P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024, L. Copeland pers. comm. April 2024
Yarravel NR	4 (Sept 2024) 0 (2023) 6 (Oct 2020) 7 (Oct 2019) 7 (2006) “less than 20” (2004)	P. Sheringham <i>in litt.</i> October 2024, P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024, Eco Logical Australia (2020)
Armidale Rd	21 (Sept 2024)	P. Sheringham <i>in litt.</i> October 2024
Jacks Crossing	3 (Sept 2024)	P. Sheringham <i>in litt.</i> October 2024
Collombatti, Chain O Pools Rd ¹	71 (Oct 2018)	BioNet (NSW DCCEEW 2024a)
Collombatti area*	11 (Sept 2024) 0 (Sept/Oct 2023) 30 (Sept/Oct 2022)	P. Sheringham <i>in litt.</i> October 2024, P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024
<i>Possibly extant subpopulations</i>		
Collombatti, Hughes Access Rd	5 (Oct 2006) 10 (Oct 2005)	Eco Logical Australia (2019)
<i>Likely extinct subpopulations</i>		
NW of Hickey’s Creek, Willawarrin	Not recorded (Sep 2020) Not recorded (Oct 2019) “Locally frequent” (Oct 1992)	Eco Logical Australia (2020), Eco Logical Australia (2019), BioNet (NSW DCCEEW 2024a)

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Dondingalong	Not recorded (Oct 2019) Present (Sept 1993)	Eco Logical Australia (2019)
Southeast of Kempsey	Present (Oct 1992)	Eco Logical Australia (2019)
Temagog Road	Not recorded (Sep 2020) Not recorded (Oct 2019) Present (Oct 1986)	Eco Logical Australia (2020), Eco Logical Australia (2019), BioNet (NSW DCCEEW 2024a)
Skillion NR	Not recorded (Oct 2019) Present (unknown date)	Eco Logical Australia (2019)

*reported to be “near the rail line in Collombatti”, but the exact location is currently unknown (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). Other distinct subpopulations in Collombatti with known locations are reported separately.

¹The Chain O Pools Rd subpopulation has only been surveyed in 2018, but if declines are similar in rate to those seen in the more thoroughly monitored Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations, there may be as few as 40 plants in 2024.

Extent of occurrence and area of occupancy

The Area of Occupancy (AOO) of *Diuris disposita* was estimated to be 24 km² using 2 x 2 km grid cells, the scale recommended by IUCN (2024). This is based on the assumption that the species has seven extant subpopulations (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024), despite three subpopulations not flowering in 2023 (Table 1), which was likely due to drought and a lack of recent disturbance at some subpopulations (e.g., Rollands Plains; P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). The maximum AOO would be 28 km² if the possibly extant Collombatti subpopulation last recorded in 2006 is still extant.

The Extent of Occurrence (EOO) is based on a minimum convex polygon enclosing all mapped occurrences of the species, the method of assessment recommended by IUCN (2024). The Extent of Occurrence (EOO) is difficult to estimate for *Diuris disposita*, because the precise location of the recently recorded Collombatti subpopulation is unknown, other than it being located on private property “near the rail line in Collombatti” (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). Estimating possible sites near the rail line in Collombatti suggest EOO values could be approximately 120–150 km², or 130–160 km² if the Collombatti subpopulation last recorded in 2006 is included. Both EOO and AOO were calculated using QGIS (QGIS 2024), enclosing all likely extant survey records and cleaned spatial datasets. Cleaning removed two records of likely extinct subpopulations at Temagog Road (NSW586939) and NW of Hickey’s Creek, Willawarrin (NSW429657), leaving 91 clean records used in this assessment. Based on these estimates, *D. disposita* has a highly restricted AOO and EOO.

Ecology

Habitat

Diuris disposita occurs in open forest and grassland from 40–60 m a.s.l. in an area from Rollands Plains in the south to Collombatti in the north and Willawarrin in the west. At Rollands Plains it occurs in a broad river valley on clay loam soils over metasediments in derived grassland dominated by *Themeda triandra* resulting from partially-cleared dry sclerophyll forest (NSW DCCEEW 2024a). Associated species include *Corymbia intermedia*, *Eucalyptus siderophloia*, *Acacia* sp., *Allocasuarina torulosa*, *Lophostemon confertus*, *Dianella* sp., *Microtis parviflora*, *Themeda triandra* and *Sorghum leiocladum* (NSW DCCEEW 2024a). At Yarravel NR it occurs on

undulating hills and low ridgetops with a north or northeast aspect in dry sclerophyll forest on clay loam over shale in a semi-shaded understorey among tussocks, with *Corymbia maculata*, *Eucalyptus propinqua*, *Eucalyptus siderophloia*, *L. confertus*, *Acacia falcata*, *Acacia implexa*, *Acacia subfalcata*, *Notelaea* sp., *Pomax umbellata*, *Pultenaea villosa*, *Cheilanthes sieberi*, *Dichelachne micrantha*, *Entolasia stricta*, *Lobelia purpurascens*, *T. triandra*, *Lomandra longifolia*, and a formerly substantial cover of the non-indigenous *Lantana camara* (Eco Logical Australia 2020; NSW DCCEEW 2024a). The habitat at Collombatti is derived native grassland on the edge of dry sclerophyll forest, growing with a subpopulation of the threatened *Parsonsia dorrigoensis*. At Temagog Road the species was reported to occur in open forest and grassland on gravelly loam with an understorey of *T. triandra* (NSW DCCEEW 2024a). Northwest of Willawarrin it was reported to occur in open forest regrowth on a hilltop on pinkish yellow clay (NSW DCCEEW 2024a).

Life History

Diuris disposita flowers in late September to early October (Eco Logical Australia 2019). Flowering appears to be much reduced or absent in dry years (Eco Logical Australia 2019), when the species likely persists as non-flowering or dormant plants. *Diuris disposita* possesses a tuber and can persist in a dormant state during unfavourable conditions, with most *Diuris* producing replacement tubers each season as the existing tuber senesces, and some species reproduce by production of daughter tubers on stolonoid roots (Jones 2021). It is not known if *Diuris disposita* also produces daughter tubers, however long periods of dormancy are associated with increased mortality of adult plants in other Australian terrestrial orchids (Coates *et al.* 2006).

Subpopulations from grassland habitat appear responsive to slashing, which stimulates flowering (EcoLogical Australia 2019). The species' response to fire is not documented, however other spring-flowering *Diuris* species from grassy habitats generally display increased flowering following dormant-season fire (Eco Logical Australia 2019). Therefore, it appears likely that *Diuris disposita* may also have an increased flowering response in post-fire years relative to other times, and periodic fire outside of the growing season is likely to be beneficial, by reducing the amount of competing vegetative biomass of co-occurring species and promoting growth and flowering.

Fire during the non-dormant growing season can be detrimental to the persistence of Australian terrestrial orchids (Jasinge *et al.* 2018). Tubers at this time may have insufficient resources to sustain a second flush of leaf production, resulting in tuber mortality and consequently plant senescence (Jasinge *et al.* 2018). Seedlings generally do not develop replacement tubers until spring, and they are likely to be located at a very shallow depth, suggesting fires during the non-dormant season are likely to cause high seedling mortality (M. Freestone pers. obs.).

Reproductive Ecology

Specific information on pollinators of *Diuris disposita* is lacking. However, *Diuris* species are thought to be pollinated mainly by native bees. *Trichocolletes* bees were reported by Indsto *et al.* (2006) and Scaccabarozzi *et al.* (2020), while *Exoneura* bees

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

were reported by Indsto *et al.* (2007). Many *Diuris* species have flowers that resemble those of Fabaceae (e.g. *Bossiaea*, *Daviesia*, *Pultenaea*), with which the orchids often co-occur (Beardsell *et al.* 1986; Scaccabarozzi *et al.* 2020). *Diuris* are thought to use food deceptive guild mimicry to deceive pollinators, as their flowers usually possess little or no nectar rewards, however some species can produce small amounts of nectar e.g. *Diuris alba* (Indsto *et al.* 2007).

Diuris species take around three years to reach maturity in cultivation (M Freestone pers. obs.). The maximum lifespan of *Diuris* is unknown, although *Diuris* species in cultivation generally have maximum lifespans of one to two decades (M Freestone pers. obs.). Generation time is estimated based on the primary juvenile period and lifespan using the formula: $\text{primary juvenile period} + [z * (\text{reproductive lifespan} - \text{primary juvenile period})]$ where z is generally assumed to be 0.5 (IUCN 2024). Based on this, the minimum generation length of *D. disposita* is estimated as: $3 + [0.5 * (10 - 3)] = 6.5$ years. The maximum generation length is estimated as: $3 + [0.5 * (20 - 3)] = 11.5$ years. Using the average of the maximum and minimum estimates gives an estimated generation length of 9 years, and an estimated three generation period of 27 years.

Seed Ecology

Diuris species reproduce via seed, and each plant has a single underground tuber, replaced annually, that allows it to persist underground for long periods. Orchid seeds are generally miniscule in size and wind-dispersed and are not known to form a persistent soil seedbank (Batty *et al.* 2000). *Diuris* species, like all orchids, require a fungal symbiont (a species of *Tulasnella*) for germination and nutrient uptake (Warcup 1971). In *ex-situ* germination trials, *Tulasnella* fungi lose their ability to germinate orchid seed rapidly with increasing time following their isolation from adult plants (Reiter *et al.* 2023).

Cultural significance

Diuris disposita occurs on the traditional lands of the Dunghutti First Nations people and is in the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council area (NPWS 2004; NPWS 2009). This assessment is not intended to be comprehensive of the traditional ecological knowledge that exists for *Diuris disposita*, or to speak for Aboriginal people. Aboriginal people have a long history of biocultural knowledge, which comes from observing and being on Country, and evolves as it is tested, validated, and passed through generations (Woodward *et al.* (Eds.) 2020). Aboriginal peoples have cared for Country for tens of thousands of years (Bowler *et al.* 2003; Clarkson *et al.* 2017). There is traditional ecological knowledge for all plants, animals and fungi connected within the kinship system (Woodward *et al.* (Eds.) 2020). Traditional ecological knowledge referenced in this assessment belongs to the relevant knowledge custodian and has been referenced in line with the principals of the NSW Indigenous Cultural and Intellectual Property protocol (ICIP) (Janke and Company 2023).

Threats

The NSW Scientific Committee (1998) stated that threats to *Diuris disposita* include “habitat destruction, adverse fire regimes and collecting of plants.” However, additional threats are now known, inferred or suspected to be adversely affecting the species (NSW DCCEEW 2024c). Of the threats listed by NSW DCCEEW (2024c), there is little evidence that competition for resources by pine seedlings or invasive grasses are

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

significant threats to the species (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). In addition to the threats listed in NSW DCCEEW (2024c) there is concern that increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events associated with climate change are affecting the species (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024).

Adverse biomass management

Subpopulations of *Diuris disposita* from grassland habitat appear responsive to slashing or mowing (referred to as ‘slashing’ herein), which increases the prevalence of flowering (Eco Logical Australia 2019). In the absence of slashing, flowering rates of the species are low (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). Slashing reduces competition from co-occurring grasses, which would otherwise outcompete *D. disposita*. Long disturbance-free intervals are likely to result in high biomass accumulation of *Themeda triandra*, which can accelerate mortality of grassland forbs (Morgan 2015). When biomass accumulates over periods of 7–11 years or more, the longer-term integrity of productive *Themeda triandra*-dominated ecosystems can be compromised by death of the dominant *T. triandra* sward, which, in some fragmented landscapes, may be followed by weed invasion (Morgan 2015). Slashing can also control regrowth of shrubs and trees, which occur at low densities at several subpopulations, but which could increase in cover and ultimately change the open, grassy habitat to the detriment of *D. disposita* (e.g. Rollands Plains; Eco Logical Australia 2019). Small trees and shrubs (*Acacia* spp. and *Lophostemon confertus*) have recruited into an unslashed section of the Rollands Plains subpopulation in the past, although recent slashing appears to have controlled them (P. Sheringham pers. comm. May 2024). Nevertheless, the long-term absence of slashing at this site could facilitate invasion by exotic Camphor Laurel *Cinnamomum camphora* and native trees and shrubs (*Acacia* spp.) (P. Sheringham pers. comm. June 2024). Therefore, a lack of biomass control (slashing or fire) is a serious threat to the species, particularly at Rollands Plains, but probably also at other grassland sites (e.g. the Collombatti subpopulations).

Slashing regimes must be timed so they occur during the orchid’s dormant period (January–July). Slashing has been previously undertaken when the orchid was flowering (e.g. in 2018; OEH 2020). Although slashing may or may not cause immediate adult mortality, slashing during this time of year eliminates any chance of recruitment and if sustained over several years, may cause this subpopulation to decline. Therefore, slashing should not be undertaken when *Diuris disposita* is flowering, nor when it is in pre-flowering bud development, nor during post-flowering seed pod development. This means slashing should not occur from early August to mid-December inclusive (Eco Logical Australia 2019).

Adverse fire regimes

The mechanisms by which fire can negatively affect species are diverse and can be direct or indirect. Fire seasonality is perhaps the most likely threat to *Diuris disposita*, inferred from other terrestrial orchid species (Jasinge *et al.* 2018). *Diuris disposita* may be threatened by out-of-season fires during the non-dormant phase, from April to December (Eco Logical Australia 2019). When fire occurs out of season there are a number of mechanisms that lead to recruitment failure and reduce the recovery potential of species following fire (DAWE 2022). These include seedling mortality due to desiccation as a consequence of the interaction between out of season fires and fire-hydrological interactions (Miller *et al.* 2019); low rate of seed production due to

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

sub-optimal flowering cues, particularly by species that rely on seasonal pollinators or specific flowering conditions (Brown *et al.* 2017); and disruption to processes that facilitate post-fire recovery and limit dispersal (Jasinge *et al.* 2018; Keith *et al.* 2020), particularly for species with seasonal growing conditions such as orchids. If fires occur soon after leaf emergence, the tubers may store insufficient resources to sustain a second flush of leaf production, resulting in tuber mortality (Jasinge *et al.* 2018). Out of season fire can also affect mycorrhizal fungal communities (Jasinge *et al.* 2018) or pollinator communities (Brown *et al.* 2017). Prescribed burns are likely to be the main source of out-of-season fire. However, the threat of out-of-season fire may interact with climate change threats, particularly the projected decrease in winter rainfall, to increase the risk of out-of-season bushfires. 'High frequency fire resulting in the disruption of life cycle processes in plants and animals and loss of vegetation structure and composition' is listing as a Key Threatening Process under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (NSW Scientific Committee 2000a), while 'fire regimes that cause declines in biodiversity' is a listed Key Threatening Process under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (DAWE 2022).

Fragmentation due to agricultural activities and/or roadworks

Three subpopulations of *Diuris disposita* are located on unprotected private land, which means they are possibly at risk from clearing for agricultural or other purposes. Agricultural activities that occur in the area and that could affect these subpopulations in the event that landholders are unaware of the species presence include ploughing, sowing or fertilising native grassland for pasture improvement. The Yarravel NR subpopulation is located very close to a management track (Eco Logical Australia 2020), and at risk of track management works that affect surrounding native vegetation. One historical subpopulation (the species' type locality on Temagog Rd) was located on a roadside embankment (NSW DCCEEW 2024a) and may have been at risk of road management works which possibly led to the loss of this subpopulation which has not been re-found in recent decades despite substantial survey effort. 'Clearing of native vegetation' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (NSW Scientific Committee 2001), while 'Land clearance' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (TSSC 2001a).

Increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events due to climate change

Climate change projections by CSIRO (Grose *et al.* 2015) for the subregion encompassing the Kempsey area, predict with very high confidence, that average temperatures will continue to increase in all seasons, with more hot days and warm spells. Fewer frosts, increasing intensity of extreme rainfall events and a harsher fire-weather climate is projected in the future with high confidence, while decreases in winter rainfall and increases in time spent in drought are predicted with medium confidence (CSIRO and BOM 2022). Flowering of *Diuris disposita* appears to be much reduced or absent in dry years (Eco Logical Australia 2019; P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024), when the species likely persists as non-flowering or dormant plants. While *D. disposita* possesses a tuber and can persist in a dormant state during unfavourable conditions, long periods of dormancy are associated with increased mortality of adult plants in other Australian terrestrial orchids (Coates *et al.* 2006). Therefore, decreases in winter rainfall are likely to have an adverse effect on this species, which is likely dependent on adequate soil moisture during the winter growing season for reproduction (Janissen *et al.* 2021). Drought may cause increased adult

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

mortality (Pfeifer *et al.* 2006) and alter site hydrology leading to the habitat becoming unsuitable for the species. A drought followed by extreme rainfall in 2023 negatively affected the species (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024), suggesting an increasing frequency or intensity of these events may be a serious threat. Therefore, there is a high potential that climate change represents a real threat to the long-term survival of the species. 'Anthropogenic Climate Change' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (NSW Scientific Committee 2000b), while 'Loss of climatic habitat caused by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (TSSC 2001b).

Competition for resources by Lantana (Lantana camara) and other weeds

Lantana was formerly extensive at the Yarravel NR subpopulation and considered a significant threat to the species at this site (Eco Logical Australia 2020; NSW DCCEEW 2024c). Recent weed control works have reduced the density of this weed to a low level, and consequently its level of threat to *Diuris disposita* (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). However, lantana may return if weed management works cease for extended periods of time, and therefore invasion by this weed remains a threat. Camphor laurel *Cinnamomum camphora* has recently been recorded at Rollands Plains (P. Sheringham pers. comm. May 2024) and could threaten *D. disposita* if its abundance increases at this site. 'Invasion, establishment and spread of Lantana (*Lantana camara* L. sens. Lat)' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (NSW Scientific Committee 2006), while 'Novel biota and their impact on biodiversity' is listed as a Key Threatening Process under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (TSSC 2013).

Poaching and trampling

Illegal collection is a threat for rare orchids generally (Wraith and Pickering 2019), and the small population size of *Diuris disposita* means the removal of any plants could be significant to the species' population. Although there is no evidence the species has been targeted in the past, illegal removal of plants remains a suspected future threat. Unintentional trampling from orchid enthusiasts seeking to photograph the species and workers engaged in weed control activities may also cause occasional damage to flowering plants and those with developing buds or seed pods.

Number of Locations

Diuris disposita is found at one threat-defined location. This location is defined by the most serious plausible threat resulting in the lowest number of locations being increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events due to climate change. This threat appears to be driver of the complete failure of flowering of the species in three subpopulations monitored in 2023 (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024), which indicates the potential of the threat to cause the elimination of the species within a single generation (nine years) should conditions not abate.

Assessment against IUCN Red List criteria

For this assessment it is considered that the survey of *Diuris disposita* has been adequate and there is sufficient scientific evidence to support the listing outcome.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Overall assessment outcome

Diuris disposita was found to be eligible for listing as Critically Endangered under IUCN Criterion A2b+3b+4b; C1+2a(i).

Criterion A Population size reduction

A. Population size reduction. Population reduction (measured over the longer of 10 years or 3 generations) based on any of A1 to A4			
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
A1	≥ 90%	≥ 70%	≥ 50%
A2, A3 & A4	≥ 80%	≥ 50%	≥ 30%
A1 Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected in the past where the causes of the reduction are clearly reversible AND understood AND have ceased.	<div>based on any of the following:</div> <div>(a) direct observation [except A3] (b) an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon (c) a decline in area of occupancy (AOO), extent of occurrence (EOO) and/or habitat quality (d) actual or potential levels of exploitation (e) effects of introduced taxa, hybridization, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.</div>		
A2 Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected in the past where the causes of reduction may not have ceased OR may not be understood OR may not be reversible.			
A3 Population reduction projected, inferred or suspected to be met in the future (up to a maximum of 100 years) [(a) cannot be used for A3].			
A4 An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspected population reduction where the time period must include both the past and the future (up to a max. of 100 years in future), and where the causes of reduction may not have ceased OR may not be understood OR may not be reversible.			

Outcome

Diuris disposita is Critically Endangered under Criterion A2b+3b+4b.

Population reductions

The Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations have had the most comprehensive monitoring effort of any of the species' subpopulations. The Rollands Plains subpopulation had a historical size of approximately 150 plants in 2005 or 2006 (L. Copeland pers. comm. April 2024). The most recent counts of this subpopulation were zero plants in 2023 and five plants in 2024, although conditions were suboptimal in 2023 following a recent drought and heavy rainfall event, combined with a lack of mowing, which is known to stimulate flowering in this species (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024). Therefore, it may be more appropriate to estimate the current size of this subpopulation by using an average of recent counts. The Rollands Plains subpopulation has been monitored annually from 2019–2024, with counts of 48, 61, 12, 0 and 5 plants respectively (Table 1). This equates to an average of 25 plants, and the subpopulation appears to be in decline (L. Copeland pers. comm. April 2024).

The Yarravel subpopulation had a historical maximum population size of 20 plants in 2004 (Eco Logical Australia 2020), with the most recent counts of zero plants in 2023 and four plants in 2024, with suboptimal conditions resultant from drought and heavy rain similar to those seen at Rollands Plains in 2023. Annual monitoring data from 2019–2024 has counted 7, 6, 0 and 4 plants (with no data from 2022; Table 1), allows for an average recent size at Yarravel of 4 plants.

The above suggests an estimated population reduction of approximately 83% has occurred at Rollands Plains in 18 years from 2006 (c. 150 plants) to 2024 (c. 25 plants). Similarly, an estimated population reduction of approximately 80% has occurred at Yarravel in 20 years from 2004 (maximum of 20 plants) to 2024 (c. 4 plants). Combining both data sets, an estimated population reduction of approximately 83% has occurred over approximately 19 years, with these estimates assuming linear decline. However, the decline across a three-generation period is likely to be higher than 83% percent. This is because this decline has occurred across a period of time

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

roughly two thirds the length of the species' three generation period, and it is considered unlikely to represent a fluctuation in abundance.

Population decline is often estimated using exponential decay (e.g. as opposed to linear decline; IUCN 2024). Assuming exponential decline, this equates to an exponential decay rate of: $\ln(0.17)/18 = -0.09381$ per year (where 0.17 is the percentage survival, and 19 is the time in years 2005 to 2024). To calculate the estimated decline in both the Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations over a three-generation period (27 years), it is necessary to estimate the number of mature individuals using the above rate of exponential decay.

Using the above rate of decline, it is estimated that the Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations have undergone a decline of 92% based on the equation:

$$29 / (e^{-0.09381 \times 27}) = 360 \text{ plants (92\% decline)}$$

where 29 is the estimated number of mature individuals present at the end of the three-generation period in 2024, 27 is the three-generation period in years and -0.09381 is the decay rate.

Projecting into the future from 2024, or commencing in 2005 and projecting forward to have a window of time in both the past and future also gives a projected decline of 92% across the Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations using the above decay rate.

It is concluded that at Rollands Plains and Yarravel, *Diuris disposita* has experienced and is projected to continue experiencing a very severe reduction in population size, estimated or projected at approximately 92% across a three-generation period in the past and/or future. These subpopulations are considered to be representative of the species' population as a whole, and similar reductions are inferred for where population trend data are incomplete or absent (all Collombatti subpopulations, the Armidale Rd subpopulation and the Jacks Crossing subpopulation). In addition, five or six subpopulations may have become extinct since 1986, suggestive of a significant decline of the species' population more broadly. Therefore, the species qualifies as Critically Endangered under Criterion A.

Criterion B Geographic range

B. Geographic range in the form of either B1 (extent of occurrence) AND/OR B2 (area of occupancy)			
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
B1. Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km ²	< 5,000 km ²	< 20,000 km ²
B2. Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km ²	< 500 km ²	< 2,000 km ²
AND at least 2 of the following 3 conditions:			
(a) Severely fragmented OR Number of locations	= 1	≤ 5	≤ 10
(b) Continuing decline observed, estimated, inferred or projected in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, extent and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature individuals			
(c) Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals			

Outcome

Diuris disposita is Endangered under Criterion B1ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v)+2ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v).

EOO and AOO

Diuris disposita is endemic to a small area near Kempsey on the NSW North Coast and has a very highly restricted geographic distribution. The area of occupancy (AOO)

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

of *D. disposita* has been calculated as 24–28 km². The Extent of Occurrence (EOO) is more difficult to estimate for *D. disposita*, because the precise location of one of the four likely extant subpopulations (the Collombatti subpopulation recorded in 2022) is unknown, other than it being located on private property “near the rail line in Collombatti”. Estimating possible sites near the rail line in Collombatti suggest EOO values when this subpopulation is included could be around 120–150 km², or 130–160 km² if the Collombatti subpopulation last recorded in 2006 is included.

Number of threat-defined locations

Diuris disposita is found at one threat-defined location when considering the most serious plausible threat that results in the lowest number of locations being increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events due to climate change.

Severely fragmented

Diuris disposita is considered severely fragmented as all known individuals are found in a small, isolated subpopulations which may not be viable. All subpopulations are currently small, with the largest known at Chain O Pools Rd, Collombatti having 40–71 mature individuals. All other extant subpopulations are estimated to have ≤25 mature individuals. Given the likely extinction of five or six subpopulations since 1986, the chance of re-colonisation between subpopulations is also considered to be extremely low, and so *D. disposita* meets the definition of severely fragmented in IUCN (2024).

Continuing decline

Decline has been observed, inferred and is projected to continue in all of the EOO, AOO, area, extent and/or quality of habitat, number of locations or subpopulations, and the number of mature individuals of *Diuris disposita*. At least five historical subpopulations appear to be extinct, one additional subpopulation has not been recorded since 2006, and five of the six likely extant subpopulations are very small with <25 individuals. This suggests historical and continuing decline in all of the above metrics. In addition, increasing frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events, lack of biomass management, and weed invasion threaten the species’ likely extant subpopulations, and the quality of the species’ habitat is inferred to be declining as a result.

Extreme fluctuations

The number of flowering individuals in subpopulations of *Diuris disposita* can fluctuate depending on recent rainfall and management conditions. However, this does not suggest a fluctuation in the number of mature individuals, as many individuals likely persist as dormant or non-flowering plants during such years.

Conclusion

While *D. disposita* is considered to be severely fragmented and has only one threat-defined location, the highest category that the species is eligible under Criterion B is Endangered given the AOO and EOO fall under the Endangered thresholds. Additionally, continuing decline has been observed, inferred and is projected to continue in all of the EOO, AOO, area, extent and/or quality of habitat, number of locations or subpopulations.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Criterion C Small population size and decline

C. Small population size and decline			
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
Number of mature individuals	< 250	< 2,500	< 10,000
AND at least one of C1 or C2			
C1. An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future):	25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	10% in 10 years or 3 generations (whichever is longer)
C2. An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions:			
(a) (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation	≤ 50	≤ 250	≤ 1,000
(ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	90–100%	95–100%	100%
(b) Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals			

Outcome

Diuris disposita is Critically Endangered under Criterion C1+2a(i)

Number of mature individuals

The population size of *Diuris disposita* is estimated at 123–154 mature individuals.

Continuing decline

Continuing decline in the number of mature individuals of *Diuris disposita* is projected and inferred due to the effects of climate change (increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events), inappropriate biomass management, and other threats (weeds, clearing, adverse fire regimes, poaching).

Models showing the magnitude of continuing decline in mature individuals across Rollands Plains and Yarravel are discussed in Criterion A. Using this justification, decline at Rollands Plains and Yarravel across one generation (nine years) is projected at 53% given:

$$29 * e^{-0.09381*9} = c. 12 \text{ plants (53\% decline)}$$

where 29 is the estimated number of mature individuals in both subpopulations in 2024, -0.09381 is the rate of exponential decay from Criterion A, and 9 is the generation length in years. This estimate appears plausible, as the Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations have together been observed to decline by ≥83% in 18 years (Criterion A).

Mature individuals in each subpopulation

The largest subpopulation of *Diuris disposita* at Chain O Pools Road, Collombatti recorded 71 flowering plants in 2018 (Table 1), and if similar declines seen in the Rollands Plains and Yarravel subpopulations have occurred, Chain O Pools may have as few as 40 plants by 2024. All other extant subpopulations are estimated to contain less than 30 mature individuals (Table 1).

% of mature individuals in a single subpopulation

The total number of mature individuals of *Diuris disposita* is estimated at 123–154 plants, of which the largest subpopulation contains 40–71 plants (32–46%).

Extreme fluctuations

The number of flowering individuals in subpopulations of *Diuris disposita* can fluctuate depending on recent rainfall and management conditions. However, this does not

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

suggest a fluctuation in the number of mature individuals, as many individuals likely persist as dormant or non-flowering plants during such years.

Conclusion

Diuris disposita is eligible to be listed as Critically Endangered as the number of mature individuals falls under the required threshold. Additionally, there is an estimated and projected continuing decline in the number of mature individuals of approximately 53% over one generation (nine years), and the largest subpopulation is estimated to contain as few 40 mature individuals in 2024. This means that *D. disposita* meets the subcriteria for listing as Critically Endangered under C1 and C2a(i).

Criterion D Very small or restricted population

D. Very small or restricted population			
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
D. Number of mature individuals	< 50	< 250	D1. < 1,000
D2. Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time.	-	-	D2. typically: AOO < 20 km ² or number of locations ≤ 5

Outcome

Diuris disposita is Endangered under Criterion D.

Number of mature individuals

The estimated population of *Diuris disposita* is 123–154 mature individuals, based on average counts of likely extant subpopulations from 2018–2024.

Risk of future extinction in a very short amount of time

Diuris disposita has an estimated AOO of 24–28 km² and is found at one threat-defined location when considering the most serious plausible threat resulting in the lowest number of locations being increased frequency of drought and extreme rainfall events due to climate change. This threat appears to be behind the complete failure of flowering of the species in all three surveyed subpopulations in 2023 (P. Sheringham pers. comm. April 2024; Table 1), which indicates the potential of the threat to cause the elimination of the species within a very short time (e.g. a single generation; nine years) should conditions not abate. This means that *D. disposita* meets the criteria for listing as Vulnerable under Criterion D2.

Criterion E Quantitative analysis

E. Quantitative Analysis			
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
Indicating the probability of extinction in the wild to be:	≥ 50% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 20% in 20 years or 5 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 10% in 100 years

Outcome

Diuris disposita is Data Deficient under Criterion E.

Probability of extinction

Currently there are not enough data to undertake a quantitative analysis to determine the extinction probability of *Diuris disposita*.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Conservation and Management Actions

Diuris disposita is currently listed on the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* and a conservation project has been developed by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment under the Saving our Species program. The conservation project identifies priority locations, critical threats and required management actions to ensure the species is extant in the wild in 100 years. *Diuris disposita* sits within the site-managed management stream of the SoS program and the conservation project can be viewed [here](#).

There is no National Recovery Plan for this species.

Habitat loss, disturbance and modification

- Prevent ongoing habitat loss associated with intensification of rural land use (e.g. pasture improvement), including through partnerships with landholders who have populations on their land.
- Continue regular slashing/mowing at Rollands Plains between late December to late July. Do not slash or mow from early August to mid-December when *Diuris disposita* is in pre-flowering bud development, nor during post-flowering
- Ensure surveys associated with proposed habitat removal or detrimental modification address this species.
- At Rollands Plains, trial an ecological burn of some areas of the unslashed section between mid-January to early March. Do not burn outside of this period and do not burn the plants observed in the slashed area. A late summer fire is known to enhance the flowering rates of other spring-flowering *Diuris* species (Eco Logical Australia 2019). Ideally the fire should be of moderate intensity and, if shown to successfully promote flowering of *D. disposita*, repeated every 3–5 years (NSW DCCEEW 2023b).
- Where possible, and with the consent and support of landowners, explore options to legally protect *Diuris disposita* subpopulations on private land (e.g. through conservation covenants or land purchases for inclusion into the conservation estate).

Invasive species

- Continue to control lantana at Yarravel NR, and consider implementing a weed control program at Rollands Plains to control Camphor Laurel if necessary.
- Remove sapling native tree and shrubs from Rollands Plains if they pose a threat to *Diuris disposita*.

Ex situ conservation

- Continue targeted seed and mycorrhizal fungal collection for ex situ seed banking and propagation. Seed/tissue collection and storage should be conducted in accordance with best practice guidelines and procedures (Martyn Yenson *et al.* 2021 or Commander 2021). With such few remaining individuals, consider collecting seed from all remaining individuals to maximise genetic diversity of ex-situ collections. Fungal collections of *Tulasnella* (e.g. from *Diuris*)

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

rapidly lose the ability to germinate seed and should be stored at -80°C immediately following isolation (Reiter *et al.* 2023).

- Implement conservation translocations or, if threats are adequately addressed, supplementation of existing subpopulations. Translocations should be conducted in accordance with best practice guidelines and procedures (Commander *et al.* 2018), including monitoring translocated subpopulations through to recruitment to ensure they are viable.

Stakeholders

- Liaise with landowners and managers of sites where there are known subpopulations (particularly at Chain O Pools Road Collombatti and Rollands Plains) and consult with these groups regarding options for conservation management and protection of the species.
- Support engagement of Traditional Owners in conservation actions, including the implementation of Indigenous fire management and other survey, monitoring and management actions. Enable the sharing of knowledge, while ensuring the processes and protocols to record, store, and share any knowledge are agreed and appropriately resourced. Information on the application of integrated Caring for Country practices to protect and enhance habitat is of critical importance.
- Engage local residents, particularly in the Collombatti area, to participate in surveys, monitoring or other conservation actions for the species using an adaptive management approach.

Survey and Monitoring priorities

- Locate the Collombatti subpopulation reported in 2022.
- Survey the Collombatti subpopulation last reported in 2006 if possible.
- Conduct further targeted surveys in areas of suitable habitat on public and private land.
- Continue monitoring of extant subpopulations, including annual monitoring of Rollands Plains and Yarravel NR. Ideally, collect monitoring data on individual plants (that are accurately located and tagged), and record emergence, flowering and pollination data, which could help answer questions about the species ecology (below).
- Monitor threats and their impact at all extant subpopulations where possible.

Information and Research priorities

- Identify the pollinator/s of *Diuris disposita* and conduct research into their ecology.
- Conduct research into the ecology of *D. disposita*, including fire response, emergence and flowering cues (e.g. slashing/fire requirements; rainfall timing and amount), pollination rates, recruitment, fire ecology, etc.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

References

- Batty AL, Dixon KW, Sivasithamparam K (2000). Soil seed bank dynamics of terrestrial orchids. *Lindleyana* **15**, 227–236.
- Beardsell DV, Clements MA, Hutchinson JF, Williams EG (1986). Pollination of *Diuris maculata* R Br (Orchidaceae) by floral mimicry of the native legumes *Daviesia* spp. and *Pultenaea scabra* R Br. *Australian Journal of Botany* **34**, 165–173.
- Bowler JM, Johnston, H Olley JM, Prescott JR, Roberts RG, Shawcross W and Spooner N A (2003) 'New ages for human occupation and climatic change at Lake Mungo, Australia'. *Nature* **421**: 837–840.
- Brown J, York A, Christie F, McCarthy M (2017) Effects of fire on pollinators and pollination. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **54**, 313–322.
- Clarkson C, Jacobs Z, Marwick B, Fullagar R, Wallis L, Smith M, Roberts RG, Hayes E, Lowe K, Carah X and Florin SA (2017) 'Human occupation of northern Australia by 65,000 years ago'. *Nature* **547**: 306–310.
- Coates F, Lunt ID & Tremblay RL (2006). Effects of disturbance on population dynamics of the threatened orchid *Prasophyllum correctum* DL Jones and implications for grassland management in south-eastern Australia. *Biological Conservation* **129**, 59–69.
- Commander LE, Coates D, Broadhurst L, Offord CA, Makinson RO & Matthes M (2018) Guidelines for the translocation of threatened plants in Australia. Third Edition. Australian Network for Plant Conservation, Canberra. URL: https://www.anpc.asn.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Translocation-Guidelines_FINAL-WEB2.pdf (Accessed: 18 May 2024).
- CSIRO & BOM (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation and Bureau of Meteorology) (2022) East Coast (Southern) subcluster: Climate Change in Australia website. Commonwealth Scientific Research Organisation, and Bureau of Meteorology, Canberra. URL: <https://www.climatechangeinaustralia.gov.au/en/projections-tools/regional-climate-change-explorer/sub-clusters/?current=MBC&tooltip=true&popup=true> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).
- DAWE (Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment) (2021) Australia's bioregions (IBRA). Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra. Available at: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/land/nrs/science/ibra> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).
- DAWE (Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment) (2022) Fire regimes that cause declines in biodiversity as a key threatening process. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, Canberra. URL: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/key-threatening-processes/fire-regimes-that-cause-declines-in-biodiversity> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).
- ECA (Ecological Consultants Association) (2019) Ecological Consultants Association Photo Gallery 2019. *Consulting Ecology* **42**.

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

- Eco Logical Australia (2019) The distribution and abundance of *Diuris disposita*, an endangered ground orchid – 2019 season. Unpublished report for the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment.
- Eco Logical Australia (2020) 2020 *Diuris disposita* survey in the Kempsey district. Unpublished report for the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment.
- Grose MR, Bhend J, Argueso D, Dowdy AJ, Hoffmann P, Evans JP, Timbal B (2015). Comparison of various climate change projections of eastern Australian rainfall. *Australian Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal* **65**, 72–89.
- Indsto JO, Weston PH, Clements MA, Dyer AG, Batley M, Whelan RJ (2006). Pollination of *Diuris maculata* (Orchidaceae) by male *Trichocolletes venustus* bees. *Australian Journal of Botany* **54**, 669–679.
- Indsto JO, Weston PH, Clements MA, Dyer AG, Batley M, Whelan RJ (2007). Generalised pollination of *Diuris alba* (Orchidaceae) by small bees and wasps. *Australian Journal of Botany* **55**, 628–634.
- Indsto JO, Weston, PH, Clements MA (2009). A molecular phylogenetic analysis of *Diuris* (Orchidaceae) based on AFLP and ITS reveals three major clades and a basal species. *Australian Systematic Botany* **22**, 1–15.
- IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) (2024). Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 16 (March 2024). Standards and Petitions Committee of the IUCN Species Survival Commission. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.
- Janissen B, French G, Selby-Pham J, Lawrie AC, Huynh T (2021) Differences in emergence and flowering in wild, re-introduced and translocated populations of an endangered terrestrial orchid and the influences of climate and orchid mycorrhizal abundance. *Australian Journal of Botany* **69**, 9–20.
- Janke (Terri Janke and Company – Lawyers and Consultants) (2023) ‘*Indigenous Cultural and Intellectual Property protocol*’, Department of Planning and Environment NSW, Parramatta.
- Jasinge NU, Huynh T, Lawrie AC (2018) Consequences of season of prescribed burning on two spring-flowering terrestrial orchids and their endophytic fungi. *Australian Journal of Botany* **66**, 298–312.
- Jones DL (1991) New taxa of Australian Orchidaceae. *Australian Orchid Research* **2**, 55.
- Jones DL (2021). ‘A Complete Guide to Native Orchids of Australia, third edition’ (Reed New Holland Publishers Pty Ltd, Sydney, Australia; Auckland, New Zealand).
- Keith DA, Dunker B, Driscoll DA (2020). Dispersal: The eighth fire seasonality effect on plants. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **35**, 305–307.
- Martyn Yenson AJ, Offord CA, Meagher PF, Auld T, Bush D, Coates DJ, Commander LE, Guja LK, Norton SL, Makinson RO, Stanley R, Walsh N, Wrigley D, Broadhurst L (2021) Plant Germplasm Conservation in Australia: strategies and guidelines for developing, managing and utilising ex situ collections. Third edition. Australian Network for Plant Conservation, Canberra. URL: <https://anpc.asn.au/wp->

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

content/uploads/2021/09/GermplasmGuidelinesThirdEdition_FINAL_210902.pdf
(Accessed: 18 May 2024).

Miller G, Tangney R, Enright NJ, Fontaine JB, Merritt DJ, Ooi MKJ, Ruthrof KX, Miller BP (2019) Mechanisms of fire seasonality effects on plant populations. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **34**, 1104–1117.

Morgan J (2015) Biomass management in native grasslands. In: 'Land of Sweeping Plains'. (Eds. Williams NSG, Marshall A, Morgan J) pp. 202–222. (CSIRO Publishing: Canberra).

NPWS (National Parks and Wildlife Service) (2004) Yarravel and Skillion Nature Reserves Plan of Management. NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/research-and-publications/publications-search/yarravel-and-skillion-nature-reserves-plan-of-management> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NPWS (National Parks and Wildlife Service) (2009) Kumbatine National Park and Kumbatine State Conservation Area Plan of Management. NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Parks-reserves-and-protected-areas/Parks-plans-of-management/kumbatine-national-park-state-conservation-area-plan-of-management-090330.pdf> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NSW DCCEEW (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water) (2024a) BioNet records for *Diuris disposita*. NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water.

NSW DCCEEW (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water) (2024b) State Vegetation Type Map on the SEED portal. NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water. URL: <https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/nsw-state-vegetation-type-map> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NSW DCCEEW (Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water) (2024c) Help Save the Willawarrin Doubletail. NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, Environment and Water. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Animals-and-plants/Threatened-species/sos-exhibition-19/draft-strategy/site-managed/draft-willawarrin-doubletail-diuris-disposita-strategy.pdf?la=en&hash=79484A3954D85EE7E7F226B561CA58F069178337> (Accessed 18 May 2024).

NSW Scientific Committee (1998) *Diuris disposita* (a terrestrial orchid) – endangered species listing. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/-/media/OEH/Corporate-Site/Documents/Animals-and-plants/Threatened-species/sos-exhibition-19/draft-strategy/site-managed/draft-willawarrin-doubletail-diuris-disposita-strategy.pdf?la=en&hash=79484A3954D85EE7E7F226B561CA58F069178337> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NSW Scientific Committee (2000a). High frequency fire resulting in the disruption of life cycle processes in plants and animals and loss of vegetation structure and composition - key threatening process listing. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/Topics/Animals-and-plants/Threatened->

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

species/NSW-Threatened-Species-Scientific-Committee/Determinations/Final-determinations/2000-2003/High-frequency-fire-disruption-of-life-cycle-processes-key-threatening-process-listing (Accessed: 12 June 2024).

NSW Scientific Committee (2000b). Anthropogenic Climate Change - key threatening process listing. URL: <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/Topics/Animals-and-plants/Threatened-species/NSW-Threatened-Species-Scientific-Committee/Determinations/Final-determinations/2000-2003/Anthropogenic-Climate-Change-key-threatening-process-listing> (Accessed: 28 May 2024).

NSW Scientific Committee (2001). Clearing of native vegetation - key threatening process listing. URL: <https://threatenedspecies.bionet.nsw.gov.au/profile?id=20023> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NSW Scientific Committee (2006). Invasion, establishment and spread of Lantana (*Lantana camara* L. sens. Lat) - key threatening process listing. URL: <https://threatenedspecies.bionet.nsw.gov.au/profile?id=20044> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

OEH (Office of Environment and Heritage) (2020) Saving our Species conservation project monitoring and evaluation report Willawarrin Doubletail. Unpublished report by the New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage for the Saving Our Species program.

Pfeifer M, Wiegand K, Heinrich W, Jetschke G (2006) Long-term demographic fluctuations in an orchid species driven by weather: implications for conservation planning. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **43**, 313–324.

PlantNET (2025) *Diuris disposita* D.L.Jones in 'PlantNET (The NSW Plant Information Network System)'. Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust, Sydney. URL: <https://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/cgi-bin/NSWfl.pl?page=nswfl&lvl=sp&name=Diuris~disposita> (accessed 18 March 2025).

QGIS (2024). QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. <http://qgis.osgeo.org>

Reiter N, Dimon R, Arifin A, Linde C (2023). Culture age of *Tulasnella* affects symbiotic germination of the critically endangered Wyong sun orchid *Thelymitra adorata* (Orchidaceae). *Mycorrhiza* **33**, 409–424.

Scaccabarozzi D, Guzzetti L, Phillips RD, Milne L, Tommasi N, Cozzolino S, Dixon KW (2020). Ecological factors driving pollination success in an orchid that mimics a range of Fabaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **194**, 253–269.

Scade A, Brundrett MC, Batty AL, Dixon KW, Sivasithamparam K (2006). Survival of transplanted terrestrial orchid seedlings in urban bushland habitats with high or low weed cover. *Australian Journal of Botany* **54**, 383–389.

TSSC (Threatened Species Scientific Committee) (2001a) Commonwealth listing advice on Land clearance. Australian Government, Canberra. URL: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/key-threatening-processes/land-clearance> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

TSSC (Threatened Species Scientific Committee) (2001b) Commonwealth listing advice on Loss of terrestrial climatic habitat caused by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases. Australian Government, Canberra. URL: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/key-threatening-processes/loss-of-habitat-caused-by-greenhouse-gases> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

TSSC (Threatened Species Scientific Committee) (2013) Commonwealth listing advice on Novel biota and their impact on biodiversity. Australian Government, Canberra. URL: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/key-threatening-processes/novel-biota-impact-on-biodiversity> (Accessed: 18 May 2024).

Warcup JH (1971). Specificity of mycorrhizal association in some Australian terrestrial orchids. *New Phytologist* **70**, 41–46.

Wraith J, Pickering C (2019). A continental scale analysis of threats to orchids. *Biological Conservation* **234**, 7–17.

Woodward E, Hill R, Harkness P and R Archer (eds.) (2020) 'Our Knowledge Our Way in caring for Country: Indigenous-led approaches to strengthening and sharing our knowledge for land and sea management, best practice guidelines from Australian experiences'. NAILSMA and CSIRO, Cairns, Australia.

Expert Communications

Sheringham, Paul. Senior Threatened Species Officer, NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Copeland, Lachlan. Senior Botanist, Eco Logical Australia.

APPENDIX 1

Assessment against *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* criteria

The Clauses used for assessment are listed below for reference.

Overall Assessment Outcome: *Diuris disposita* was found to be Critically Endangered under Clause 4.2(1 a)(2 b) and Clause 4.4(a)(d i)(e i,ii A(I))

Clause 4.2 – Reduction in population size of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion A)

Assessment Outcome: Critically Endangered under Clause 4.2(1 a)(2 b)

(1) - The species has undergone or is likely to undergo within a time frame appropriate to the life cycle and habitat characteristics of the taxon:			
	(a)	for critically endangered species	a very large reduction in population size, or
	(b)	for endangered species	a large reduction in population size, or

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

	(c)	for vulnerable species	a moderate reduction in population size.
(2) - The determination of that criteria is to be based on any of the following:			
	(a)	direct observation,	
	(b)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,	
	(c)	a decline in the geographic distribution or habitat quality,	
	(d)	the actual or potential levels of exploitation of the species,	
	(e)	the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.	

Clause 4.3 - Restricted geographic distribution of species and other conditions (Equivalent to IUCN criterion B)

Assessment Outcome: Endangered under Clause 4.3(b)(d)(e i,ii,iii,iv)

The geographic distribution of the species is:			
	(a)	for critically endangered species	very highly restricted, or
	(b)	for endangered species	highly restricted, or
	(c)	for vulnerable species	moderately restricted,
and at least 2 of the following 3 conditions apply:			
	(d)	the population or habitat of the species is severely fragmented or nearly all the mature individuals of the species occur within a small number of locations,	
	(e)	there is a projected or continuing decline in any of the following:	
		(i)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,
		(ii)	the geographic distribution of the species,
		(iii)	habitat area, extent or quality,
		(iv)	the number of locations in which the species occurs or of populations of the species,
	(f)	extreme fluctuations occur in any of the following:	
		(i)	an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon,
		(ii)	the geographic distribution of the species,
		(iii)	the number of locations in which the species occur or of populations of the species.

Clause 4.4 - Low numbers of mature individuals of species and other conditions (Equivalent to IUCN criterion C)

Assessment Outcome: Critically Endangered under Clause 4.4(a)(d i)(e i,ii A(I))

The estimated total number of mature individuals of the species is:			
	(a)	for critically endangered species	very low, or
	(b)	for endangered species	low, or
	(c)	for vulnerable species	moderately low,
and either of the following 2 conditions apply:			

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

	(d)	a continuing decline in the number of mature individuals that is (according to an index of abundance appropriate to the species):			
		(i)	for critically endangered species		very large, or
		(ii)	for endangered species		large, or
		(iii)	for vulnerable species		moderate,
	(e)	both of the following apply:			
		(i)	a continuing decline in the number of mature individuals (according to an index of abundance appropriate to the species), and		
		(ii)	at least one of the following applies:		
		(A)	the number of individuals in each population of the species is:		
			(I)	for critically endangered species	extremely low, or
			(II)	for endangered species	very low, or
			(III)	for vulnerable species	low,
		(B)	all or nearly all mature individuals of the species occur within one population,		
		(C)	extreme fluctuations occur in an index of abundance appropriate to the species.		

Clause 4.5 - Low total numbers of mature individuals of species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion D)

Assessment Outcome: Endangered under Clause 4.5(b)

The total number of mature individuals of the species is:			
	(a)	for critically endangered species	extremely low, or
	(b)	for endangered species	very low, or
	(c)	for vulnerable species	low.

Clause 4.6 - Quantitative analysis of extinction probability (Equivalent to IUCN criterion E)

Assessment Outcome: Data Deficient

The probability of extinction of the species is estimated to be:			
	(a)	for critically endangered species	extremely high, or
	(b)	for endangered species	very high, or
	(c)	for vulnerable species	high.

Clause 4.7 - Very highly restricted geographic distribution of species–vulnerable species (Equivalent to IUCN criterion D2)

Assessment Outcome: Vulnerable under Clause 4.7

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

For vulnerable species,	the geographic distribution of the species or the number of locations of the species is very highly restricted such that the species is prone to the effects of human activities or stochastic events within a very short time period.
-------------------------	--