#### **Appendix A: Sanitary inspection report**

# Sanitary inspection report

+ Determination of Beach Suitability Grade

Version 11

## **Summary of findings**

Site name:			Site reference number:		
Site visit date:		Council meeting da	ate:	_	
Sanitary Inspe	ction Category (SIC	_ Determined on:			
Microbial Asse	essment Category (N	ИАС):	_ Calculated on: _		
Matrix for det	ermining the Beac	h Suitability Grade			
Sanitary Inspection		<b>licrobial Assessm</b> (95th percentiles – en			
Category (SIC)	A ≤40	B 41–200	C 201–500	D >500	
Very Low	Very Good	Very Good	Follow up	Follow up	
Low	Very Good	Good	Follow up	Follow up	
Moderate	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	
High	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	
Very High	Follow up	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	
Beach Suitab	ility Grade:	for the	e year:		
Entered into d	atahasa on:				

This template can be used as a field sheet for the Beachwatch Sanitary Inspection Database or on its own as a sanitary inspection report. The template is available as a fillable form on the Beachwatch website.

For further guidance in determining the likelihood of pollution from each pollution source contact Beachwatch —  $\underline{\text{beachwatch} @ \text{environment.nsw.gov.au}}$ 

#### Contents of the sanitary inspection report

Summary of findings	58
1. Site information	60
2A. Site use	62
2B. Pollution sources	63
Pollution source inventory	63
Bather shedding	64
Toilet facilities	65
Wastewater treatment plant (within 2 km)	66
Designated sewage overflows	68
Sewer chokes and leakages	69
Onsite sewage disposal systems	70
Wastewater re-use	71
Stormwater	72
River discharge	74
Lagoons	75
Boats	76
Animals	77
2C. Management	78
3. Calculating the Sanitary Inspection Category	79

## 1. Site information

Site name:		Site ref	ference number:	
Type of site:		ne 🗆 Freshw		
Sandy beach?   Yes	□ No			
Swimming dimensions: Le	ength (m):	Width (m):	= Area (m²):	
Catchment area:	square kilor	netres		
Catchment land use: B	ushland:	% Rural:	% Urban:	%
Contact details				
Responsible authority:				
Name:		_ Position:		
Landline:	Mobile:		Fax:	
Email:		_		
Site location				
Address:				
Latitude:		Longitude:		
Site description:				
Diagram of site				

#### 1. Site information, cont.

Level of flushing:		High (e.g. coastal beach)					
		Medium (e.g. es	tuarii	ne)			
		☐ Low (e.g. lagoon)					
Elevated enteroco	occi (	>40 cfu/100mL):		After light rain (5 mm in 24hrs)			
				After moderate rain (10 mm in 24hrs)			
				After heavy rain (20 mm in 24hrs)			
				After very heavy rain (50 mm in 24hrs)			

### 2A. Site use

Activities at site:	☐ Swimming	☐ Surfing	☐ Jet skiing	☐ Canoeing/kayaking
	☐ Fishing	☐ Sailing	☐ Boating	☐ Diving
	Other			_
Groups using site:	☐ Young childre	en (<7yrs)	☐ Elderly (>6	Oyrs)
	☐ Adults & olde	er children	☐ Tourists	
Number of users: _	to	people	per day on week	ends
-	to	people	per weekday (no	n-holiday period)
-	to	people	per weekday (ho	liday period)
Off-street parking?	P □ No □	Yes, number	of bays:	
Lifeguards:	Unpatrolled	☐ Weekends	☐ Weekda	ys (non-holiday)
	Summer/School h	olidays		
Do conditions dete	er people from ente	ering?		
□ No □ Yes,	details:			
Any complaint of il	lness recorded?			
□ No □ Yes,	details:			
Consequence				
☐ Minor				
Rarely used o	•			
<ul><li>Occasionally t</li><li>Few people er</li></ul>	used on weekends oter the water	or holidays		
<ul> <li>Location not p</li> </ul>	opular with childre	•		
_	nimal importance	to the local eco	nomy	
☐ Moderate		/a =		المعادم والمامورة
•	ed on weekdays ed on weekends o		opie per day for r	on-holiday period)
Most people e		ron or the older	l. ,	
•	popular with childer me importance to		•	
☐ Major				
•	ed on weekdays, v	weekends and I	nolidays	
<ul><li>Most people e</li><li>Location very</li></ul>	enter the water popular with child	en or the elder	ly	

Location of great importance to the local economy

### 2B. Pollution sources

#### **Pollution source inventory**

Pol	lution sources that could affect the water quality at the swimming site:
	Do <b>bathers</b> use the site?
	Are toilet facilities located within close proximity to the site?
	Are wastewater treatment plants (including outfalls) located within 2 km of the site?
	Do <b>designated sewage overflows</b> occur in the catchment (or within approximately 1 km radius of the site)?
	Do <b>sewer chokes or leakages</b> occur in the catchment (or within approximately 1 km radius of the site)?
	Do surrounding properties use onsite sewage disposal systems?
	Does wastewater re-use occur within 100 m radius of the site?
	Does <b>stormwater</b> discharge within 500 m of the site?
	Do <b>rivers</b> discharge within 1 km of the site?
	Do lagoons discharge within 500 m of the site?
	Are <b>boats</b> located in the vicinity of the site?
	Are <b>animals</b> (wildlife or domestic animals) present at the site?

Bather s	hedding				
☐ Applicat	ole 🗆	Not applicable	e, details:		
Number of b	oathers at	busy times:			
Toilets avail	lable?	□ No □	Yes, location:		
Bather den	sity calcu	lation			
Use <b>area</b> as	s defined o	on the Site deta	ils sheet.		
Use <b>numbe</b>	er at busy	times as defin	ed above.		
Number at b	ousy times	:di	vided by site area:	=	(people/m <sup>2</sup> )
☐ Low (b	ather den	sity <0.2)			
☐ High (b	oather den	sity ≥0.2)			
Likelihood	of pollution	on from bathe	rs (select from the	following matrix)	
		Toilets a	vailable = YES	Toilets a	vailable = NO
		Low bather density	High bather density	Low bather density	High bather density
	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Flushing	Medium	Very Low	Low	Low	Moderate
	High	Very Low	Low	Low	Moderate
<b>Likelihood</b> Is this likelih	-	_	rs is:es  \qquad               \q	ised likelihood:	

Toilet fac	ilities						
☐ Applicable ☐ Not applicable, details:							
Distance from	m toilets	to site (m):					
Total number	er of toile	ts:					
Total numbe	r of shov	vers:					
Type of sew	erage sy	stem:   Sewe	red				
		☐ Onsite	e system, how ofte	en serviced?			
Discharges/	odours re	ecorded? 🗆 No	, details:				
Discriarges	☐ Yes, details:						
Discriarges/N		☐ Ye	s, details:				
Discharges/v		☐ Ye	s, details:				
-			s, details: ——— acilities (select fr				
-		ion from toilet fa		om the following			
-		ion from toilet fa	acilities (select fr	om the following	ງ matrix)		
Likelihood o		ion from toilet fa Distant	acilities (select fr	om the following	g matrix) proximity		
Likelihood (	of pollut	ion from toilet fa Distant Low use/flow	acilities (select fr proximity High use/flow	om the following Close Low use/flow	g matrix) proximity High use/flow		

#### ☐ Applicable ☐ Not applicable, details:\_\_\_\_\_ Name of outfall: Distance from site (m):\_\_\_\_\_ a. Discharges from wastewater treatment plants Outfall type: Direct ☐ Short ☐ Long (offshore) Treatment level: None ☐ Preliminary ☐ Primary ☐ Secondary + disinfection ☐ Tertiary + disinfection ☐ Lagoon ☐ Tertiary Likelihood of pollution for discharges from wastewater treatment plants (select from the following matrix) **Outfall type** Direct Short Long (offshore) None Very High High Low **Preliminary** Very High High Low **Primary** Very High High Low Secondary High High Low **Treatment** level Secondary + disinfection Moderate Moderate Very Low **Tertiary** Moderate Moderate Very Low Tertiary + disinfection Very Low Low Low Lagoons High High Low b. Wastewater treatment plant bypasses Average discharge volume per bypass event (mL):\_\_\_\_\_ Dilution of bypass effluent: ☐ Hiah ☐ Low Minimum treatment level of bypassed effluent: ☐ Secondary ☐ None ☐ Primary ☐ Tertiary/lagoon Bypassed effluent disinfected: ☐ Never ☐ Sometimes ☐ Always Bypass discharge location: □ Direct ☐ Short ☐ Long (offshore)

**Wastewater treatment plant (within 2 km)** 

#### Wastewater treatment plant (within 2 km), cont.

**Likelihood of pollution for wastewater treatment plant bypasses** (select from the following matrix)

		Wastewater treatment plant bypass frequency (assuming effluent is not disinfected)					
		May occur in exceptional circumstances (1 in 10 years)	Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once in a 5- year period	Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing season	Will occur on a regular basis (once a week)	
Dilution	High	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	
(from discharge location)	Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High	

If there is no history of bypasses the likelihood of contamination for wastewater treatment plants is determined using the likelihood of pollution from wastewater treatment plant discharge matrix (a); however, if there is a history of treatment bypasses at the wastewater treatment plant the likelihood is determined by using likelihood of pollution for wastewater treatment plant bypasses matrix (b).

Likelihood of pollution from the waster	water treatment plant is:
Is this likelihood appropriate?   Yes	☐ No, revised likelihood:
Comments/Justification:	

Designa	ated s	sewage over	flows			
☐ Applica	able	☐ Not applica	ble, details:			
For each	overflo	w in the catchm	nent (or 1 km	radius), list:		
Name		Address			Frequency/1	Oyrs Volume
Dilution:	Пн	igh 🗆 Low				
Likelihoo	☐ H	igh □ Low	signated sewa		(select from t	he following
Likelihoo			Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once in a 5-year period	Frequency Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing season	Will occur on a regular basis (once a week)
Likelihoo		May occur in exceptional circumstances	Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once in a 5-	Frequency Might occur at least once or twice per bathing	Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing	Will occur on a regular basis (once
Dilution: <b>Likelihoo</b> matrix)	d of po	May occur in exceptional circumstances (1 in 10 years)	Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once in a 5-year period	Frequency Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing season	Will occur on a regula basis (onco a week)

Sewer o	choke	s and leakag	ges			
☐ Applic	able	☐ Not applica	ble, details:			
For each	overflo	w in the catchm	nent (or 1 km ı	radius), list:		
Date		Addre	ess.			
Dilution:	□н	igh 🗆 Low				
		Ilution from sev	ver chokes an	d leakages (s	elect from the	following
matrix)	o. po					
				Frequency		
		May occur in exceptional circumstances (1 in 10 years)	Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once in a 5- year period	Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	Will probably occur at least 3-4 times per bathing season	Will occur on a regular basis (once a week)
Dilution	High	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High
Bildiloii	Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High
Is this like	lihood a	Ilution from sevappropriate?	Yes 🗆 N	lo, revised lik	elihood:	

	•	Josai System			
☐ Applicab	le ☐ Not	applicable, deta	ails:		
Approximate	number of sy	stems in catchm	nent:	-	
Distance to s	site from near	est system (m): <sub>-</sub>	(not i iden	ncluding onsite to tified under 'Toile	
Discharges/o	odours record	ed? 🛘 No, det	ails:		
		☐ Yes, de	etails:		
Likelihood of following m	•	rom onsite sew	age disposal sy	stems (select fr	om the
		Distant	proximity	Close p	roximity
		<50 systems	≥50 systems	<50 systems	≥50 systems
	Good – no complaints	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Low
Condition		Very Low Low	Very Low  Moderate	Low Moderate	Low
	complaints  Poor – history of odours and discharges	Low		Moderate	High
Likelihood (	complaints  Poor – history of odours and discharges  of pollution fr	Low rom onsite sew	Moderate	Moderate stems is:	High
<b>Likelihood</b> of Is this likelihood	complaints  Poor – history of odours and discharges  of pollution from	Low  rom onsite sew te?  Yes	Moderate  age disposal sy	Moderate  stems is:	High
<b>Likelihood</b> of Is this likelihood	complaints  Poor – history of odours and discharges  of pollution from	Low  rom onsite sew te?  Yes	Moderate  age disposal sy	Moderate  stems is:	High

Wastewa	ter re-use					
☐ Applicable ☐ Not applicable, details:						
Location of w	vastewater re-	-use area:				
Distance from	m site to re-us	se area:				
Wastewater	treated prior t	o use? 🛚 No	☐ Yes, detail	s:		
Likelihood o	of pollution fr	om wastewater	re-use (select fr	om the following	matrix)	
		Distant proximity Close proximity				
		Distant p	oroximity	Close p	roximity	
		Distant p	High volume	Close p Low volume	roximity High volume	
Treatment	High – disinfected					
Treatment level		Low volume	High volume	Low volume	High volume	
	disinfected Low – not	Low volume Very Low	High volume Very Low	Low volume Low	High volume Low	
level	disinfected  Low – not disinfected	Low volume Very Low Low	High volume Very Low	Low volume Low Moderate	High volume Low	
level	disinfected  Low – not  disinfected  of pollution fr	Low volume Very Low Low rom wastewater	High volume Very Low Moderate	Low volume Low Moderate	High volume Low High	
Likelihood o	disinfected  Low – not disinfected  of pollution from	Low volume Very Low Low  rom wastewater te?	High volume Very Low Moderate re-use is:	Low volume Low Moderate  likelihood:	High volume Low High	
Likelihood o	disinfected  Low – not disinfected  of pollution from	Low volume Very Low Low  rom wastewater te?	High volume  Very Low  Moderate  re-use is:	Low volume Low Moderate  likelihood:	High volume Low High	

Stormwater	
☐ Applicable ☐ Not applicable, details	:
Total number of drains at swimming site:	
•	
Pick the <b>two drains</b> that have the most influe one drain, enter its details).	ence on your sampling site (or if there is only
Drain 1	
Location:	Authority:
Distance from site (m):	
Type of drain: ☐ Box culvert ☐ C	Creek
Discharge area: ☐ Dune ☐ Beach ☐	Offshore ☐ Direct <50m ☐ Direct ≥50m
Drain 2	
Location:	Authority:
Distance from site (m):	
Type of drain: ☐ Box culvert ☐ C	Creek 🗆 Pipe
Discharge area: ☐ Dune ☐ Beach ☐	Offshore ☐ Direct <50m ☐ Direct ≥50m
Primary land use: ☐ High density urban	☐ Low density urban ☐ Rural – grazing
☐ Rural – cropping	☐ Bushland/reserve
Likelihaad of mallution from atormustar (c	and at from the fallowing matrix, about the

**Likelihood of pollution from stormwater** (select from the following matrix – choose the highest likelihood if you have two different drains)

		Discharge area		
		Dune	Beach, offshore or direct ≥50 m	Direct <50 m
	High density urban	Low	Moderate	High
	Low density urban	Very Low	Low	Moderate
Land use	Rural – grazing	Very Low	Low	Moderate
	Rural – cropping	Very Low	Low	Low
	Bushland/reserve	Very Low	Low	Low

# 

Stormwater, cont.

River dis	charge	•				
☐ Applicab	le 🗆	Not applicable	, details:			
Name of rive	er:					
Distance from	m discha	rge point to site	(m):			
Pollution sou	ırces in r	iver discharge:	☐ Urban stormwa	ater 🗆		ate from onsite water systems
			☐ Agricultural run	off $\square$	Intensi	ive livestock ction
			☐ Other, details:			
Likelihood (	of polluti	ion from river d	ischarge (select fro	om the follo	wing m	atrix)
		Distant	proximity	(	Close p	roximity
		Low discharge volume	High discharge volume	Low disch volume	arge	High discharge volume
River	Good	Very Low	Very Low	Low		Low
water quality	Poor	Low	Moderate	Moderate		High
Likelihood (	of polluti	ion from river d	ischarge is:			
Is this likelih	ood appr	opriate? 🛚 Ye	es 🗆 No, revis	sed likeliho	od:	
Comments/J	lustification	on:				

Lagoons				
☐ Applicable	☐ Not applicable	e, details:		
Name of lagoon:_			-	
Distance from site	(m):			
Area of lagoon (sq	ı. km):	_		
Catchment area (s	sq. km):			
Sources of pollution	on to lagoon:	Urban stormwater	☐ Agricultu	ral runoff
		Other, details:		
% time open to oc	ean (recent avera	ge):		
Entrance managed	d or modified?			
□ No □ Yes	, details:			
Likalihaad of nal	lution from logo	ana (aalaat fram th	o following motrix	<b>4</b>
Likelinood or por	-	ons (select from th	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ood of pollution from	_	
Very Low May occur only in exceptional circumstances, e.g. 1 in 10 years	Low Unlikely to occur but could occur at least once within a 5-year period	Moderate  Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	High Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing season	Very High Will occur on a regular basis, e.g. once a week
Likelihood of pol	lution from lago	ons is:		
Is this likelihood a	opropriate? 🗆 `	∕es ☐ <b>No, rev</b>	ised likelihood:	
	•			
Commonto, odotino				

Boats				
☐ Applicable	☐ Not applic	cable, details:		
What is located	near the site?	<ul><li>☐ Marina</li><li>☐ Harbour</li><li>☐ Anchorage</li><li>☐ Boat ramp</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ Permanent</li><li>□ Temporary</li><li>□ Jetty</li><li>□ Ferry berth</li></ul>	-
Distance from s	site to nearest boa	at (m):		
Number of boat	s near site:			
Pump-out facilit	ies provided?			
□ No □ Y	es, details:			
Complaints of b	oat discharges?			
□ No □ Y	es, details:			
Onshore toilets	provided?			
□ No □ Y	es, details:			
Likelihood of p	oollution from be	oats (select from the	following matrix)	
			Number of boats	
		<20 boats	20-50 boats	50-100 boats
Waste	Good (holding-tanks required)	Very Low	Very Low	Low
management	Poor (holding-tanks not required)	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Likelihood of p	pollution from bo	oats is:		
Is this likelihood	d appropriate?	☐ Yes ☐ <b>No</b> , r	evised likelihood:	
Comments/Just	tification:			

Animals				
☐ Applicable ☐	Not applicable,	details:		
Aquatic birds?	□ Yes □ I	No		
Density:	v 🛚 Medium	n 🗆 High		
Roosting structures p	oresent?	Yes 🗆 No		
Native animals?	□ Yes □ I	No		
Density:	v 🛚 Medium	n 🗆 High		
Domestic animal ex	ercise area?	□ Yes □ N	lo	
Type: Dogs	☐ Horses	☐ Other, details	:	
Dog waste bags avail	lable?	Yes □ No		
Animals directly acce	ess water?	Yes □ No		
Area regularly cleane	ed?	Yes □ No		
Likelihood of polluti	ion from animal	s (select from the	e following matrix	)
	Likelihoo	d of pollution from	animals	
exceptional bu	ut could occur at east once within	Moderate Might occur at least once or twice per bathing season	High Will probably occur at least 3–4 times per bathing season	Very High Will occur on a regular basis, e.g. once a week
Likelihood of polluti	ion from animal	s is:		
Is this likelihood appr	•	•		
Comments/Justification	on:			

# 2C. Management

Which managemen	t controls are in place to warn pe	ople of periods of increased risk?
☐ None	☐ Permanent onsite signage	☐ Temporary onsite signage
☐ Media releases	☐ Beach closures	☐ Website
☐ Other, details: _		
Provide details of ad	visories:	
	-	
Do management co these periods?	entrols effectively prevent people	from entering the water during
☐ No ☐ Yes, d	etails:	
Is there a managen sewage overflows a	nent response plan in place to dea	al with exceptional events such as
□ No □ Yes, d	etails:	

# 3. Calculating the Sanitary Inspection Category

On the form on the next page complete the following steps:

- **STEP 1:** Fill out the likelihood for each of the pollution sources in the top part of the form (leave blank if pollution source is not applicable).
- **STEP 2:** By referring to the table below, fill out the numerical likelihood values for these pollution sources.

Likelihood	Numerical likelihood
Very Low	0.1
Low	0.2
Moderate	1
High	3
Very High	12

- **STEP 3:** Sum the numerical likelihoods.
- **STEP 4:** By referring to the table below, fill out the numerical likelihood for animal pollution source (if applicable) in the second part of the form and sum the total numerical likelihood.

Likelihood	Numerical likelihood
Very Low	0.1
Low	0.1
Moderate	0.2
High	1
Very High	1

**STEP 5:** Using the total numerical likelihood, identify the Sanitary Inspection Category using the table below.

Total numerical likelihood	Sanitary Inspection Category
0–0.19	Very Low
0.2-0.99	Low
1–2.99	Moderate
3–11.99	High
>12	Very High

Pollution source	Likelihood	Numerical likelihood
Bathers		=
Toilet facilities		=
Wastewater treatment plant		=
Designated sewage overflows		=
Sewer chokes and leakages		=
Onsite sewage disposal systems		=
Wastewater re-use		=
Stormwater		=
River discharge		=
Lagoons		
Boats		
	Sum of numerical likelihoods	=
Pollution source	Likelihood	Numerical likelihood
Animals		=
Sum of nur	nerical likelihoods from previous table	=
	Total numerical likelihood	=
The Sanitary Inspection Category for this site is:		