

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, INDUSTRY & ENVIRONMENT

State of the beaches 2019–2020

Statewide summary and how to read this report

Beachwatch



Find out more about your environment at:

www.environment.nsw.gov.au

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc l}}$ 2020 State of NSW and Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE)

With the exception of photographs, the State of NSW and Department of Planning, Industry and Environment are pleased to allow this material to be reproduced in whole or in part for educational and non-commercial use, provided the meaning is unchanged and its source, publisher and authorship are acknowledged. Specific permission is required for the reproduction of photographs.

The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) has compiled this report in good faith, exercising all due care and attention. No representation is made about the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the information in this publication for any particular purpose. DPIE shall not be liable for any damage which may occur to any person or organisation taking action or not on the basis of this publication. Readers should seek appropriate advice when applying the information to their specific needs.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information in this document is accurate at the time of publication. However, as appropriate, readers should obtain independent advice before making any decision based on this information.

All content in this publication is owned by DPIE and is protected by Crown Copyright, unless credited otherwise. It is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0), subject to the exemptions contained in the licence. The legal code for the licence is available at Creative Commons.

DPIE asserts the right to be attributed as author of the original material in the following manner: © State of New South Wales and Department of Planning, Industry and Environment 2020.

Published by:

Environment, Energy and Science Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124 Phone: +61 2 9995 5000 (switchboard) Phone: 1300 361 967 (Environment, Energy and Science enquiries) TTY users: phone 133 677, then ask for 1300 361 967 Speak and listen users: phone 1300 555 727, then ask for 1300 361 967 Email: info@environment.nsw.gov.au

Website: www.environment.nsw.gov.au

Report pollution and environmental incidents Environment Line: 131 555 (NSW only) or info@environment.nsw.gov.au See also www.environment.nsw.gov.au

ISBN 978-1-922431-55-4 EES 2020/0302 July 2020

Front cover: Jibbon Beach (Cameron Board/DPIE)

Contents

State of the beaches statewide summary 2019–2020	1
Beach monitoring in NSW	1
Rainfall impacts	1
Overall beach performance for 2019–2020	5
The Beachwatch programs	10
How to read this report	23
Beach Suitability Grades	23
Explanation of tables	28
Explanation of graphs, charts, and information bars on beach pages	28
Quality assurance	33
The quality assurance program	33

Recreational water quality has been monitored in New South Wales by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment's Beachwatch Program since 1989, and in partnership with coastal councils since 2002 under the Beachwatch Partnership Program. This report summarises the performance of 228 swimming sites along the NSW coast in 2019–2020, providing a long-term assessment of how suitable a site is for swimming. Monitored sites include ocean beaches, estuarine areas, lake and lagoon swimming sites and ocean baths.

In 2019–2020 swimming sites in New South Wales performed well with 89% of monitored swimming sites graded as Good or Very Good, an improvement in performance from the previous year. These sites were suitable for swimming for most or almost all of the time. This is an excellent result, reflecting prolonged dry weather conditions in many coastal areas, and despite isolated rainfall events and a very wet February on the NSW coast. Many estuarine and lake and lagoon swimming sites did not perform as well as the ocean beaches, being more susceptible to impacts from wet weather conditions.

State of the beaches statewide summary 2019–2020



Bilgola Beach Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE

Beach monitoring in NSW

The water quality of beaches and other swimming locations is monitored under the NSW Government's Beachwatch programs to provide the community with accurate information on the cleanliness of the water and to enable individuals to make informed decisions about where and when to swim. Routine assessment also measures the impact of pollution sources, enables the effectiveness of stormwater and wastewater management practices to be assessed and highlights areas where further work is needed.

Swimming sites in New South Wales are graded as Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor or Very Poor in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council's 2008 *Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Waters*. These Beach Suitability Grades provide a long-term assessment of how suitable a beach is for swimming. The grades are determined from the most recent 100 water quality results (two to four years' worth of data depending on the sampling frequency) and a risk assessment of potential pollution sources.

Recreational water quality has been monitored in New South Wales by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment's Beachwatch Program since 1989, and in partnership with coastal councils since 2002 under the Beachwatch Partnership Program.

Rainfall impacts

During 2019–2020, 228 swimming sites were monitored including ocean beaches, estuarine areas, lake and lagoon swimming sites and ocean baths. Rainfall is the major driver of pollution to recreational waters, generating stormwater runoff and triggering untreated discharges from the wastewater treatment and transport systems. Changes in rainfall patterns are reflected in beach water quality over time due to variation in the frequency and extent of stormwater and wastewater inputs.

The Beach Suitability Grades for 2019–2020 are based on water quality data collected over the last two to four years. Rainfall over this period has been diverse:

 2016–2017: the wettest March on record for many coastal areas and intense storm activity over summer

- 2017–2018: prolonged dry periods broken by heavy rain at times, including widespread rainfall along the NSW coast in the last week of summer
- 2018–2019: extended dry conditions, with several wet months on the coast
- 2019–2020: lengthy dry periods, with some isolated wet weather events and a significantly wet February.

Total rainfall for winter 2019 was the fourth lowest on record in New South Wales, with average to well below average rainfall recorded for most coastal areas. Despite this, average to above average rainfall fell in the North Coast, Hunter, Central Coast and Sydney regions in June 2019, and more than double the long-term average rainfall impacted the Hunter, Central Coast and Sydney regions in August 2019. July 2019 was very dry along the coast, with most areas recording well below their long-term monthly rainfall totals.

Above average rainfall fell during September 2019 in the Hunter, Central Coast and Sydney regions, while average to below average rainfall was recorded on the North Coast, Illawarra and South Coast during the same month.

Dry weather conditions prevailed for most of the NSW coastline, with rainfall totals for October 2019 to January 2020 well below the long-term monthly averages across all regions. Spring and early summer were significantly dry, with the state's second driest December on record.

Despite the dry start to summer, consistent and heavy rain fell along the NSW coast during February 2020, with up to three times the long-term monthly average rainfall recorded in many areas. Some sites on the North Coast recorded their wettest summer on record, and Sydney had its wettest summer since 1991–1992, due to the heavy rainfall in early February.

The severe wet weather and king high tides in February 2020 contributed to extensive flooding in areas on the North Coast, Central Coast and Sydney. Beachwatch issued extreme wet weather alerts for Central Coast, Sydney and Illawarra beach pollution forecasts, advising stormwater pollution may be impacting ocean beaches for an extended period.

Rainfall during March 2020 varied along the coast, with many regions recording several isolated showers during the month. Mostly below average rainfall totals were recorded in April 2020, except for some wet weather on the North Coast.

Beach Suitability Grades at 14 swimming sites improved in 2019–2020. These sites included ocean beaches, estuarine swimming sites, lake/lagoon swimming sites and an ocean baths that had improved microbial water quality from the previous year. Beach Suitability Grades at five swimming

See the section on **How** to read this report on page 23 for an explanation of the graphs, tables and Beach Suitability Grades.

See the section on **Quality assurance** on page 33 for an explanation and results of the quality assurance program.



Bushfire ash and debris washed up at Wagonga Inlet on the South Coast Photo: Adam Wethered/ EES, DPIE sites were downgraded from the previous year. While three ocean beaches were downgraded from Very Good to Good, one ocean beach and one estuarine swimming site crossed the threshold from Good to Poor.

A quality assurance program ensures the information collected and reported by Beachwatch and our partners is accurate and reliable.

Bushfires and water quality

Catastrophic bushfires affected many areas of New South Wales in 2019–2020, including the South Coast region between December 2019 and February 2020, and areas of the Southern Highlands in the Illawarra region between October 2019 and January 2020. Ash and debris from the bushfires was widespread and evident at many NSW beaches.

Ash fallout from bushfires can add chemical contaminants to waterways and beaches. Rainfall-driven runoff from burnt areas can also impact water quality by washing suspended solids such as ash, charcoal, debris and soil particles, pathogens and dissolved materials into dams, streams and waterways. Dissolved materials can include nutrients, metals and organic matter. Increases in nutrients including phosphorus and nitrogen in the waterways can promote algal blooms.


Advisories to avoid swimming at waterways and beaches impacted by bushfires on the South Coast were issued by local councils and on the Beachwatch website.

Health risks

Contamination of recreational waters with faecal material from animal and human sources can pose significant health problems to beach users owing to the presence of pathogens (disease-causing microorganisms) in the faecal material. The most common groups of pathogens found in recreational waters are bacteria, protozoans and viruses.

Exposure to contaminated water can cause gastroenteritis, with symptoms including vomiting, diarrhoea, stomach-ache, nausea, headache and fever. Eye, ear, skin and upper respiratory tract infections can also be contracted when pathogens come into contact with small breaks and tears in the skin or ruptures of the delicate membranes in the ear or nose.

Certain groups of users may be more vulnerable to microbial infection than others. Children, the elderly, people with compromised immune systems, tourists, and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds are generally most at risk. **89%** swimming sites graded Good or Very Good



Site types monitored in NSW by Beachwatch and partnership councils

Overall beach performance for 2019–2020

In 2019–2020, 202 of the 228 monitored swimming sites in New South Wales were graded as Very Good or Good, indicating they were suitable for swimming for most or almost all of the time, an improvement from 2018–2019 when 86% of swimming sites were graded as Very Good or Good. The sites monitored were the same as the previous year.

Percentage of sites graded as Very Good or Good*:

- 2019–2020: 89%
- 2018–2019: 86%
- 2017–2018: 85%
- 2016–2017: 84%.

*Percentages of sites graded as Very Good or Good prior to 2018– 2019 are not comparable with the following years, due to changes in the monitoring program in October 2018 with 14 designated swimming areas in the Lake Macquarie no longer reported by Beachwatch.

While this is an excellent result, many lake/lagoon and estuarine swimming locations did not perform as well as ocean beaches, primarily due to lower levels of flushing increasing the time needed to disperse and dilute pollution inputs. As ocean beaches, estuarine beaches, lake/lagoon swimming sites and ocean baths have very different responses to rainfall-related impacts, the results for each type of swimming area are discussed separately.



Beach Suitability Grades for monitored ocean beaches in NSW

Ocean beaches

New South Wales' open ocean beaches have excellent water quality with 98% of 126 monitored ocean beaches graded as Very Good or Good. This indicates they were suitable for swimming most or almost all of the time. This performance is similar to the 2018–2019 result, when 98% of 126 ocean beaches were graded as Very Good or Good.

The impacts of rainfall on water quality are least apparent at ocean beaches with tidal flushing rapidly dispersing and diluting pollution inputs.

Four ocean beaches were upgraded to Very Good in 2019– 2020, due to improvements in microbial water quality. These beaches were Fingal Beach and Redhead Beach in the Hunter, and Soldiers Beach and Umina Beach on the Central Coast.

Toowoon Bay on the Central Coast was upgraded to Good in 2019–2020 from a Poor grade in the previous year. Water quality at this beach was mostly suitable for swimming in dry weather conditions, however elevated enterococci levels were occasionally recorded after little or no rain. While the microbial water quality at Toowoon Bay has shown a slight improvement this year, it remains close to the threshold between Good and Poor, and the site has fluctuated between Good and Poor for several years.

Three ocean beaches were graded as Poor in 2019–2020:

- Swansea Heads Little Beach in the Hunter
- Malabar Beach in Sydney
- Surf Beach on the South Coast.

Swansea Heads Little Beach was graded as Poor, downgraded from Good in the previous year. Microbial water quality has declined over recent years and crossed the threshold between Good and Poor. The decline in water quality reflects a slightly higher proportion of samples collected during wet weather compared to the 2018–2019 assessment period. Enterococci levels occasionally exceeded the safe swimming limit in dry weather conditions and often following light rainfall.

Malabar Beach continued to be graded as Poor, a consistent result for many years. Water quality at this site is occasionally impacted during dry weather and often following light rainfall. This beach takes longer to recover from stormwater events than nearby areas, with elevated bacteria levels often recorded up to two days after rainfall.

Surf Beach was graded Poor, a similar result to the previous year. The microbial water quality at Surf Beach has been close to the threshold between Good and Poor for several years. In the last two years, the water quality has continued to decline, and it is often impacted during dry weather and after rainfall.

Further investigation is required at poorer performing sites to show the scale and extent of the problem, and the source of microbial contamination.

As a general precaution swimming should be avoided at ocean beaches during and for up to one day after rainfall, or if there are signs of stormwater pollution such as discoloured water, flowing stormwater drains or floating debris.

Estuarine beaches



Beach Suitability Grades for monitored estuarine beaches in NSW

Sixty-six (85%) of the 78 estuarine swimming sites were graded as Very Good or Good, an improvement in performance on the previous year. While water quality at these sites was suitable for swimming most of the time, it was occasionally impacted by stormwater pollution following rainfall. These estuarine swimming sites are generally located in the well-flushed sections of the estuaries or had few potential sources of faecal contamination.

Elvina Bay in Pittwater was upgraded in 2019–2020 to Very Good from Good. This was due to improved microbial water quality, reflecting a higher number of samples collected in dry weather conditions compared to the previous year.

Bagnalls Beach in Port Stephens in the Hunter, and Carss Point Baths and Oatley Bay Baths in the lower Georges River, Sydney improved to Good from Poor grades in the previous year. While microbial water quality improved at these sites, Bagnalls Beach remains close to the threshold between Good and Poor. Improvements in microbial water quality at Carss Point Baths and Oatley Bay Baths reflect a higher proportion of samples collected during dry weather compared to the previous year's assessment.

Three estuarine beaches continued to be graded as Fair from the previous year: Northbridge Baths and Gurney Crescent Baths in Sydney Harbour and Gymea Bay Baths in Port Hacking. These sites generally had good water quality during the assessment period, with almost all dry weather samples suitable for swimming. Elevated bacterial levels were measured during and following rainfall. Several potential sources of faecal contamination have been identified as significant risks in the sanitary inspection, including stormwater and impacts from upstream sources.

Nine of the estuarine beaches were graded as Poor in 2019–2020:

- Evans River on the North Coast
- Davistown Baths, Pretty Beach Baths, Woy Woy Baths and Yattalunga Baths in Brisbane Water on the Central Coast
- Rose Bay Beach, Hayes St Beach and Davidson Reserve in Sydney Harbour, and Foreshores Beach in Botany Bay in Sydney.

Foreshores Beach in Botany Bay improved to Poor from Very Poor. This year's improvement in microbial water quality is likely due to the higher proportion of samples collected during dry weather conditions compared to the 2018–2019 assessment period. Hayes St Beach was

downgraded to Poor from Good last year. The microbial water quality at this site has been consistently close to the threshold between the Good and Poor grades and has alternated between these grades for the last four years.

Water quality at most of these sites is often suitable for swimming in dry weather conditions, with elevated levels of bacteria measured following light rainfall. These sites were typically located in less well-flushed sections of the estuaries or had more significant pollution sources.

Further investigation is required at poorer performing swimming sites to show the scale and extent of the problem, and the source of microbial contamination. During 2019– 2020, further investigations at Rose Bay found microbial pollution in Rose Bay is largely from human sewage contaminating the stormwater drains discharging to the beach, particularly after rain.

Estuarine sites are generally not as well-flushed as ocean beaches, and so the time for pollution to disperse and dilute is longer. Pollution inputs are retained at some swimming sites when they are located in the upper reaches away from the main channels. As a precaution, avoid swimming in estuaries during and for up to three days following rainfall, or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water, flowing stormwater drains or floating debris.

Lake/lagoon swimming sites

Eight (42%) of the 19 lake and lagoon swimming sites were graded as Very Good or Good, an improvement in performance on the previous year.

Mogareeka Lions Park on the South Coast was graded as Very Good, consistent with the previous year. Water quality was suitable for swimming all of the time during the assessment period, with all samples within the safe swimming limit; however, the grade is provisional as it is based on limited bacterial data. Continued monitoring will provide a clearer indication of water quality at this site.

Good grades were recorded at seven lake and lagoon swimming sites:

- Lake Ainsworth East and Lake Ainsworth South on the North Coast
- Summerland Point Baths in Lake Macquarie on the Central Coast
- Birdwood Park and Bilarong Reserve in Narrabeen Lagoon in Northern Sydney
- Entrance Lagoon Beach in Lake Illawarra in the Illawarra



Beach Suitability Grades for monitored lake/lagoon swimming sites in NSW

Mogareeka Inlet north of Tathra on the South Coast.

Water quality at these sites was mostly suitable for swimming during dry weather, with elevated enterococci levels recorded following rainfall. Lake Ainsworth East, Summerland Point Baths and Bilarong Reserve were upgraded to Good from Poor in the previous year, due to improved microbial water quality. These three sites have alternated between Good and Poor several times over the past five years.

Eleven lake and lagoon swimming sites continued to be graded as Poor. These are:

- Lake Ainsworth North and Lake Ainsworth West on the North Coast
- nine swimming sites on the Central Coast: Gwandalan, Chain Valley Bay and Mannering Park Baths in Lake Macquarie; Lake Munmorah Baths in Lake Munmorah; Canton Beach in Tuggerah Lakes; Davistown Baths, Pretty Beach Baths, Woy Woy Baths and Yattalunga Baths in Brisbane Water
- four coastal lagoons at Wamberal, Terrigal, Avoca and Cockrone.

Water quality at these sites was often suitable for swimming during dry weather conditions and in very light rain; however, they are highly susceptible to the impacts of wet weather during and for up to three days after rain.

Further investigation is required at poorer performing swimming sites to show the extent of the problem and the source of microbial contamination. In 2019 investigations began into poor water quality at Lake Ainsworth on the North Coast, and at Canton Beach and the four coastal lagoons on the Central Coast to determine the source of microbial contamination.

The water quality at lake/lagoon sites often depends on how close the swimming area is to the ocean and whether the entrance is open to the ocean. When the entrance is open and the site is near that opening, the site can be well-flushed by clean ocean water, and water quality is often of a high standard. If the site is not near the entrance, or the entrance is closed, pollution inputs are retained, and the water quality can be affected by contamination from stormwater runoff to the lake/lagoon.

As a general precaution, it is recommended that swimming at lake and lagoon swimming sites be avoided during and for up to three days after rainfall or if there are signs of stormwater pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.

Ocean baths



Beach Suitability Grades for monitored ocean baths in NSW All five ocean baths were graded as Good in 2019–2020: Cabbage Tree Bay Rockpool, The Entrance Ocean Baths and Pearl Beach Rockpool on the Central Coast, South Maroubra Rockpool in Sydney and Big Blue Pool on the South Coast. While water quality at The Entrance Ocean Baths was generally suitable for swimming during dry and wet weather conditions, water quality at the other four ocean baths was mostly suitable for swimming after little or no rain, with elevated bacterial levels recorded following higher levels of rainfall.

The water quality at ocean baths often depends on the flushing regime. While The Entrance Ocean Baths is regularly cleaned by council, other ocean baths are flushed irregularly, relying on the natural exchange of ocean water over the rocks and pool walls. It is recommended that swimming be avoided during and for up to one day after rainfall, or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.

The Beachwatch programs



Sampling in Sydney Harbour Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE

Hunter Water Corporation and Sydney Water monitor ocean beaches in the Hunter and Illawarra regions respectively.

Beachwatch

The Beachwatch Program was established in 1989 to monitor Sydney's ocean beaches and was expanded to ocean beaches in the Hunter and Illawarra regions in 1996.

Monitoring of estuarine beaches commenced in 1994, with the addition of Sydney Harbour, Botany Bay and lower Georges River to the program. Pittwater was added in 1996 and most sites in Port Hacking were added in 1999.

Beachwatch Partnership Program

The Beachwatch Partnership Program was established in 2002 and included nine local councils monitoring 96 swimming sites along the NSW coast during 2019–2020:

Ballina Shire Council Richmond Valley Council Port Stephens Council Central Coast Council Wollongong City Council Kiama Municipal Council Shoalhaven City Council Eurobodalla Shire Council Bega Valley Council.

The water quality sampling and laboratory analysis are fully funded by each local council. The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment provides quality assurance support and assistance with community reporting.

Beach pollution forecasts

Beachwatch issues daily pollution forecasts to enable beach goers to make informed decisions about where and when to swim. The forecasts are available before 7:30am during the swimming season (October to April) and before 8am between May and September, and cover swimming sites in the Sydney, Hunter, Central Coast and Illawarra regions.

Beach pollution forecasts can be accessed via the Beachwatch website, mobile website, <u>email</u> <u>subscription, Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>.

environment.nsw.gov.au/beachwatch



Sampling sites and areas monitored in New South Wales under the Beachwatch programs

Beach Suitability Grades for North Coast region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Ballina Shire Council			
Seven Mile Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Lake Ainsworth North	Lake/Lagoon	P	
Lake Ainsworth East	Lake/Lagoon	G	
Lake Ainsworth South	Lake/Lagoon	G	
Lake Ainsworth West	Lake/Lagoon	Р	
Shelly Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Lighthouse Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Shaws Bay North	Estuarine	G	
Shaws Bay East	Estuarine	G	
Shaws Bay East Arm	Estuarine	G	
Shaws Bay East Beach	Estuarine	G	
Shaws Bay West	Estuarine	G	
The Serpentine	Estuarine	G	
Richmond Valley Council			
Airforce Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Main Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Shark Bay	Ocean beach	VG	
Evans River	Estuarine	P	
Elm Street Bridge North (Evans River)	Estuarine	G	

Beach Suitability Grade				Change			
VG	G	F	Р	VP	•		+
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

Beach Suitability Grades for Hunter region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Port Stephens Council			
Zenith Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Box Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Fingal Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
One Mile Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Birubi Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Little Beach	Estuarine	G	
Dutchmans Beach	Estuarine	G	
Bagnalls Beach	Estuarine	G	
Georges Reserve	Estuarine	G	
Lemon Tree Passage Tidal Pool	Estuarine	G	
Karuah Tidal Pool	Estuarine	G	
Newcastle City Council			
South Stockton Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Nobbys Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Newcastle Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bar Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Merewether Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Burwood North Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Burwood South Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Lake Macquarie City Council			
Glenrock Lagoon Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Dudley Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Redhead Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Blacksmiths Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Swansea Heads Little Beach	Ocean beach	Р	+

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change			
Lake Macquarie City Council (continued)						
Caves Beach	Ocean beach	VG				

	Beach Suitability Grade			Change		
VG Very Good	G Good	F Fair	Poor	VP Very Poor	Stable	↓ Declined

Beach Suitability Grades for Central Coast region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Central Coast Council			
Lakes Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Cabbage Tree Bay Rockpool	Ocean baths	G	
Soldiers Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
North Entrance Beach	Ocean beach	G	
The Entrance Beach	Ocean beach	G	
The Entrance Ocean Baths	Ocean baths	G	
Toowoon Bay	Ocean beach	G	
Shelly Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Gwandalan	Lake/Lagoon	Р	
Summerland Point Baths	Lake/Lagoon	G^	
Chain Valley Bay	Lake/Lagoon	Р	
Mannering Park Baths	Lake/Lagoon	P ^	
Lake Munmorah Baths	Lake/Lagoon	Р	
Canton Beach	Lake/Lagoon	P	
Wamberal Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Wamberal Lagoon	Lagoon	Р	
Terrigal Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Terrigal Lagoon	Lagoon	Р	
North Avoca Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Avoca Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Avoca Lagoon	Lagoon	P	
Copacabana Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Cockrone Lagoon	Lagoon	P	
MacMasters Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Killcare Beach	Ocean beach	VG	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Central Coast Council (continued)		
Ocean beach	Ocean beach	G	
Umina Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Pearl Beach Rockpool	Ocean baths	G	
Davistown Baths	Estuarine	•	
Pretty Beach Baths	Estuarine	Р	
Woy Woy Baths	Estuarine	P	
Yattalunga Baths	Estuarine	Р	

Beach Suitability Grade				Change			
VG	G	F	Р	VP	•		+
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

^ Provisional: Information required for the analysis is incomplete due to limited bacterial data or limited information on potential pollution sources in a beach catchment.

Beach Suitability Grades for Sydney region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Northern Sydney – Ocean beaches	5		
Palm Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Whale Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Avalon Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bilgola Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Newport Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bungan Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Mona Vale Beach	Ocean beach	G	+
Warriewood Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Turimetta Beach	Ocean beach	G	
North Narrabeen Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Narrabeen Lagoon (Birdwood Park)	Lagoon	G	
Bilarong Reserve	Lagoon	G	
Collaroy Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Long Reef Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Dee Why Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
North Curl Curl Beach	Ocean beach	G	
South Curl Curl Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Freshwater Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Queenscliff Beach	Ocean beach	G	
North Steyne Beach	Ocean beach	G	
South Steyne Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Shelly Beach	Ocean beach	G	+
Northern Sydney – Pittwater			
Barrenjoey Beach	Estuarine	G	
Paradise Beach Baths	Estuarine	G	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Northern Sydney – Pittwater (cont	inued)		
Clareville Beach	Estuarine	G	
Taylors Point Baths	Estuarine	G	
Bayview Baths	Estuarine	G	
Elvina Bay	Estuarine	VG	4
North Scotland Island	Estuarine	G	
South Scotland Island	Estuarine	G	
The Basin	Estuarine	VG	
Great Mackerel Beach	Estuarine	VG	
Central Sydney – Ocean beaches			
Bondi Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Tamarama Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Bronte Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Clovelly Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Gordons Bay	Ocean beach	G	
Coogee Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Maroubra Beach	Ocean beach	G	+
South Maroubra Beach	Ocean beach	G	
South Maroubra Rockpool	Ocean baths	G	
Malabar Beach	Ocean beach	Р	
Little Bay Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Central Sydney – Sydney Harbour			
Camp Cove	Estuarine	VG	
Watsons Bay	Estuarine	G	
Parsley Bay	Estuarine	G	
Nielsen Park	Estuarine	VG	
Rose Bay Beach	Estuarine	Р	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Central Sydney – Sydney Harbou	ır (continued)		
Murray Rose Pool	Estuarine	G	
Dawn Fraser Pool	Estuarine	G	
Chiswick Baths	Estuarine	G	
Cabarita Beach	Estuarine	G	
Woolwich Baths	Estuarine	G	
Tambourine Bay	Estuarine	G	
Woodford Bay	Estuarine	G	
Greenwich Baths	Estuarine	G	
Hayes St Beach	Estuarine	Р	+
Clifton Gardens	Estuarine	G	
Balmoral Baths	Estuarine	G	
Edwards Beach	Estuarine	G	
Chinamans Beach	Estuarine	G	
Northbridge Baths	Estuarine		
Davidson Reserve	Estuarine	P	
Gurney Crescent Baths	Estuarine		
Clontarf Pool	Estuarine	G	
Forty Baskets Pool	Estuarine	G	
Fairlight Beach	Estuarine	G	
Manly Cove	Estuarine	G	
Little Manly Cove	Estuarine	G	
Southern Sydney – Ocean beach	es		
Boat Harbour	Ocean beach	G	
Greenhills Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Wanda Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Elouera Beach	Ocean beach	VG	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Southern Sydney – Ocean beache	es (continued)		
North Cronulla Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
South Cronulla Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Shelly Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Oak Park	Ocean beach	VG	
Southern Sydney – Botany Bay ar	nd lower Georges River		
Silver Beach	Estuarine	G	
Como Baths	Estuarine	G	
Jew Fish Bay Baths	Estuarine	G	
Oatley Bay Baths	Estuarine	G	4
Carss Point Baths	Estuarine	G	
Sandringham Baths	Estuarine	G	
Dolls Point Baths	Estuarine	G	
Ramsgate Baths	Estuarine	G	
Monterey Baths	Estuarine	G	
Brighton-Le-Sands Baths	Estuarine	G	
Kyeemagh Baths	Estuarine	G	
Foreshores Beach	Estuarine	P	
Yarra Bay	Estuarine	G	
Frenchmans Bay	Estuarine	G	
Congwong Bay	Estuarine	G	
Southern Sydney – Port Hacking			
Jibbon Beach	Estuarine	VG	
Horderns Beach	Estuarine	G	
Gymea Bay Baths	Estuarine	F	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Southern Sydney – Port Hacl	king (continued)		
Lilli Pilli Baths	Estuarine	G	
Gunnamatta Bay Baths	Estuarine	G	

Beach Suitability Grade				Change			
VG	G	F	Р	VP	•		+
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

Beach Suitability Grades for Illawarra region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Wollongong City Council			
Stanwell Park Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Coledale Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Austinmer Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Thirroul Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Bulli Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Woonona Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bellambi Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Corrimal Beach	Ocean beach	G	
North Wollongong Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Wollongong City Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Coniston Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Fishermans Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Port Kembla Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Shellharbour City Council			
Entrance Lagoon Beach	Lake/Lagoon	G	
Warilla Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Shellharbour Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Kiama Municipal Council			
Boyds Jones Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bombo Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Surf Beach Kiama	Ocean beach	G	
Werri Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Seven Mile Beach (Gerroa)	Ocean beach	VG	

Beach Suitability Grade				Change			
VG	G	F	Р	VP	•		+
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

Beach Suitability Grades for South Coast region

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Shoalhaven City Council			
Shoalhaven Heads Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Tilbury Cove	Ocean beach	VG	
Warrain Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Collingwood Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Cudmirrah Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Mollymook Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Rennies Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Racecourse Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Bawley Point Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Merry Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Eurobodalla Shire Council			
Cookies Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Caseys Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Surf Beach	Ocean beach	P	
Malua Bay Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Broulee Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Bengello Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Shelley Beach (Moruya Heads)	Ocean beach	G	
Tuross Main Beach	Ocean beach	G	
Brou Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Wagonga Inlet	Estuarine	G	
Narooma Main Beach	Ocean beach	VG	
Bega Valley Shire Council			
Camel Rock Beach	Ocean beach	VG^	
Bruce Steer Pool	Estuarine	G^	
Horseshoe Bay	Ocean beach	VG^	

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
Bega Valley Shire Council (contin	nued)		
Big Blue Pool	Ocean baths	G^	
Beares Beach	Ocean beach	VG^	
Mogareeka Inlet	Lake/Lagoon	G^	
Mogareeka Lions Park	Lake/Lagoon	VG^	
Tathra Beach	Ocean beach	VG^	
Short Point Beach	Ocean beach	G^	
Bar Beach	Estuarine	G^	
Main Beach (Merimbula)	Ocean beach	VG^	
Pambula Beach	Ocean beach	VG^	
Pambula River Mouth	Estuarine	G^	
Aslings Beach	Ocean beach	VG^	
Cocora Beach	Ocean beach	G^	

Beach Suitability Grade				Change			
VG	G	F	Р	VP	•		+
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

^ Provisional: Information required for the analysis is incomplete due to limited bacterial data or limited information on potential pollution sources in a beach catchment.

How to read this report

Beach Suitability Grades

Beach Suitability Grades provide an assessment of the suitability of a swimming location for recreation over time and are based on a combination of sanitary inspection (identification and rating of potential pollution sources at a beach) and microbial assessment (water quality measurements gathered over previous years). There are five grades ranging from Very Good to Very Poor:



very Good

Location has generally excellent microbial water quality and very few potential sources of faecal pollution. Water is considered suitable for swimming almost all of the time



Location has generally good microbial water quality and water is considered suitable for swimming most of the time. Swimming should be avoided during and for up to one day following heavy rain at ocean beaches and up to three days at estuarine sites



Microbial water quality is generally suitable for swimming, but because of the presence of significant sources of faecal contamination, extra care should be taken to avoid swimming during and for up to three days following rainfall or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or odour or debris in the water



Location is susceptible to faecal pollution and microbial water quality is not always suitable for swimming. During dry weather conditions, ensure that the swimming location is free

weather conditions, ensure that the swimming location is free of signs of pollution, such as discoloured water, odour or debris in the water, and avoid swimming at all times during and for up to three days following rainfall

VP Very Poor

Location is very susceptible to faecal pollution and microbial water quality may often be unsuitable for swimming. It is generally recommended to avoid swimming at these sites almost all of the time

Some of the Beach Suitability Grades in this report are **provisional**, as the information required for the analysis is incomplete due to limited bacterial data or limited information on potential pollution sources in a beach catchment.

The guidelines

The National Health and Medical Research Council's *Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water*¹ were adopted for use in New South Wales in May 2009. These guidelines have been adopted in all Australian states and territories and are supported by guidance notes developed by the Department of Health Western Australia².

¹NHMRC 2008, *Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water*, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, ACT.

²Department of Health, Western Australia 2007, *Microbial quality of recreational water guidance notes in support of chapter 5 of the National Health and Medical Research Council guidelines for managing risks in recreational water, 2006*, Department of Health, Western Australia and The University of Western Australia, October 2007, available at <u>ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/A E/Environmental-</u> <u>waters-publications</u>, accessed on 10/06/20.

Enterococci

The national guidelines advocate the use of enterococci as the single preferred faecal indicator in marine waters.

These bacteria are excreted in faeces and are rarely present in unpolluted waters. Enterococci have shown a clear dose– response relationship to disease outcomes in marine waters in the northern hemisphere. In accordance with the guidelines, Beachwatch tests for enterococci only. The enterococci density in water samples is analysed in the laboratory using method AS/NZS 4276.9:2007.

AS/NZS 4276.9:2007, Water microbiology Method 9: Enterococci – Membrane filtration method (ISO 7899-2:2000, MOD), Standards Australia International Ltd, Sydney and Standards New Zealand, Wellington.

Enterococci are measured in colony forming units per 100 mL of sample (cfu/100 mL).

		Microbial Assessment Category				
		А	В	С	D	
Sanitary Inspection	Very Low	Very Good	Very Good	Follow Up	Follow Up	
Category	Low	Very Good	Good	Follow Up	Follow Up	
	Moderate	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	
	High	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	
	Very High	Follow Up	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	

Beach Suitability Grades are determined by using the following matrix:

Using the Beach Suitability Grade classification matrix, sites assigned a moderate Sanitary Inspection Category can only be rated as Good or Poor, with no option of Fair grades. This can create the impression of a large change in water quality when in fact there need only be a slight increase in bacterial counts to push it over the threshold, with no significant increase in the risk to public health.

Microbial Assessment Category (MAC)

There are four Microbial Assessment Categories (A to D) and these are determined from the 95th percentile of an enterococci dataset of at least 100 data points. Each MAC is associated with a risk of illness determined from epidemiological studies. The risks of illness shown below are not those associated with a single data point but are the overall risk of illness associated with an enterococci dataset with that 95th percentile¹.

Risk of illness associated with Microbial Assessment Categories

Category	Enterococci (cfu/100 mL)	Illness risk*
٨	<10	GI illness risk: <1%
A	≤40	AFR illness risk: <0.3%
D	44,000	GI illness risk: 1–5%
В	41–200	AFR illness risk: 0.3–1.9%
0	004 500	GI illness risk: >5–10%
C	201–500	AFR illness risk: >1.9–3.9%
D	. 500	GI illness risk: >10%
U	>500	AFR illness risk: >3.9%

* GI = gastrointestinal illness; AFR = acute fever and rash

Calculating the MAC

The 95th percentile is a useful statistic for summarising the distribution of enterococci data at a site. It embodies elements of both the location of the distribution (how high/low the enterococci counts are) and the scale of the distribution (how variable the enterococci counts are).

The 95th percentile values for each of the four Microbial Assessment Categories were determined by the World Health Organization using enterococci data collected from swimming locations across Europe. These values will represent different probabilities of illness if the distribution of enterococci data from swimming locations in New South Wales differs from the European distribution.

¹ Wyer MD, Kay D, Fleisher JM, Salmon RL, Jones F, Godfree AF, Jackson G and Rogers A 1999, An experimental health related classification for marine waters, *Water Research*, vol.33(3), pp.715–722.

In recognition of this issue, Dr Richard Lugg (Department of Health, Western Australia) has developed a Microsoft® Excel tool for calculating a modified 95th percentile that takes into account the distribution of data. This tool has been used to calculate the 95th percentile values presented in this report and has been adopted for use by other state governments in Australia.

The tool can be downloaded from the WA Government's <u>Environmental waters publications</u> webpage, under *Forms and templates* [accessed 10/06/20].

Sanitary Inspection Category (SIC)

The aim of a sanitary inspection is to identify all sources of faecal contamination that could affect a swimming location and assess the risk to public health posed by these sources. It is an assessment of the likelihood of bacterial contamination from identified pollution sources and should, to some degree, correlate with the bacterial water quality results obtained from sampling.

The main sources of faecal contamination considered in the sanitary inspection are: bathers, toilet facilities, wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), sewage overflows, sewer chokes, onsite systems, wastewater re-use, stormwater, river discharge, lagoons, boats and animals.

Rivers, lakes and estuaries themselves can be potential sources of faecal contamination to sites located in these waterbodies, with contaminated water from upstream or surrounding areas impacting water quality at the swimming location. This source is captured in river discharge or lagoon category, and shown as the waterbody in the sanitary inspection charts.

Through the sanitary inspection process, beaches are categorised to reflect the overall likelihood of faecal contamination. There are five categories: Very Low, Low, Moderate, High and Very High.

More information about the **sanitary inspection** process is available on the DPIE webpage:

Sanitary inspection of beaches



Stormwater at Coogee Beach Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE

Stormwater in urban areas often contains sewage from leakages, overflows or sewer chokes when the sewerage system fails.

Sewage overflows can occur in wet weather when the network has exceeded capacity due to rainwater entering the system. The mix of sewage and rainwater discharges from designated overflow points and drains to waterways, usually via the stormwater system. Overflows from the sewerage system can also occur in dry weather due to mechanical failure or power outage.

Sewer chokes occur due to blockages in the pipes usually due to tree roots, oil, grease or debris. This causes sewage to back up and escape via sewer inspection points, designed overflow structures or cracks in the pipes, then drain to waterways, usually via the stormwater system.

Explanation of tables

Each region contains tables listing all monitored swimming sites including site type, beach grade and change in grade from the previous year.

The following symbols are used to show the change in beach grade from the previous year:



Declined


A provisional grade indicates the assessment is based on limited data collected during the assessment period and should not be compared to the beach grade from the previous year.

Explanation of graphs, charts, and information bars on beach pages

Microbial Assessment Category (MAC) chart


On each beach page, the MACs for the last five years are displayed on a simple bar chart. The MAC for the current year is based on enterococci data collected during the assessment period. The bars are labelled with the 95th percentile value for each year and the thresholds dividing the

A, B, C and D categories are marked in green, amber and red for reference.



Sanitary Inspection Category (SIC) chart


The results of the sanitary inspection for each swimming location are presented in a radar pie chart. The chart shows the likelihood that each identified pollution source will contribute to faecal contamination at a swimming site, as indicated by the size and colour of the segment, ranging from very low (lightest colour) to very high (darkest colour) as shown below. The sum of these contributions is the overall likelihood, or Sanitary Inspection Category.



Wet and dry weather water quality chart

Enterococci levels in wet and dry weather conditions are presented for each swimming location as a bar graph. All data collected during the assessment period is included in the analysis. Dry weather is defined as no rainfall recorded in the previous 24 hours. Each bar is colour coded to show the number of enterococci results up to 40 cfu/100 mL, between

41 and 200 cfu/100 mL, between 201 and 500 cfu/100 mL and greater than 500 cfu/100 mL. These categories reflect the Microbial Assessment Category thresholds and are coloured on the graph as dark green, light green, amber and red respectively.




It is expected that swimming sites with lower levels of flushing will show some elevated bacterial results in dry weather samples (no rainfall in the previous 24 hours) d


weather samples (no rainfall in the previous 24 hours) due to the longer time needed to recover from a rainfall event. At some estuarine and lake/lagoon swimming locations the impacts of stormwater pollution on beach water quality may be detected up to three days after rainfall.

Water quality in response to rainfall

Trends in enterococci levels in response to rainfall are shown using a box plot. For reference, enterococci levels of 40 cfu/100 mL and 200 cfu/100 mL are indicated with a green and orange line, respectively. The 40 cfu/100 mL level is referred to as the 'safe swimming limit'. The enterococci data were obtained from the last five years of monitoring. Rainfall data were obtained from rain gauges situated close to the sample site and are 24-hour totals to 9am on the day of sampling. If there are fewer than five enterococci data points in a rainfall category, individual data points are presented instead of a box plot. At sites where many results are below the detection limit (1 cfu/100 mL), only the upper portion of the box plots will be visible.



Each part of the box plot represents a significant percentile value of the sample population:



Information bars

Information bars on each beach page provide a summary of details about the swimming site.

The **assessment period** shows the timeframe in which the water samples were collected. The NHMRC guidelines state beach grades should be determined from the most recent 100 water quality results collected within a five-year period. The assessment period varies between sites depending on sampling frequency.

Dry weather samples suitable for swimming (**dry weather swimmability**) shows the percentage of water samples with enterococci levels below 40 cfu/100 mL. Dry weather is defined as no rainfall in the previous 24 hours. Swimming sites with lower levels of flushing often have a lower percentage of dry weather samples within the safe swimming limit due to the impacts of rainfall detected up to three days after the event.

Explanation of maps

A map of individual swimming locations is presented on each beach page. The scale of the maps is 1:10,000. Each map shows the location of the sampling site, land use and features such as surf lifesaving clubs. Potential pollution sources such as stormwater drains, sewage pumping stations, wastewater treatment plants, lagoons, rivers and creeks, are shown where accurate data is held.

Key	to maps
	Sampling Site
	Surf Life Saving Club
3	Wastewater Treatment Plant
S PS	Sewage Pumping Station
0	Sewage Overflow
0	Stormwater Drain
	Water
	Baths
	National Park/Reserve/ Other Park
	Built-up Area
	Sand
	Roads
	Major Roads
-	Baths – Netted Area
_	Breakwater/Wharf

Quality assurance



Water sample collection Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE



The quality assurance program

To ensure that data reported by Beachwatch is accurate and reliable, quality assurance is included in all parts of the program:

- field sampling (equipment preparation, sample collection, sample storage and sample transport)
- laboratory analysis
- data management
- community reporting.

Field sampling

Hunter Water, Sydney Water and Beachwatch collect samples throughout the year and are audited quarterly. Councils in the Beachwatch Partnership Program usually sample for part or all of the swimming season (October to April) and are audited once during this period. Due to travel restrictions placed on Beachwatch staff during the COVID-19 pandemic, one Sydney Water field audit, and two partnership council field audits could not be conducted in early 2020.

Audits include an assessment of field officer performance according to established Beachwatch Programs sampling protocols, including aseptic sampling techniques, sample collection, sample storage and documentation of field observations. These protocols are based on internationally recognised methods for the collection of water samples in recreational bathing areas¹.

¹Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th edition, 1998, American Public Health Association, Washington.

Sample collection by Beachwatch, Hunter Water and Sydney Water complied well with established sampling protocols, with a compliance of 100%. Councils in the Beachwatch Partnership Program achieved an overall compliance of 98% with Beachwatch sampling protocols.

Minor non-compliance was noted during the field audits of two partner councils, which did not include checks of esky temperature while sampling or detailed field observations. While the temperatures of the samples are checked by the laboratory before testing, regular checks of esky temperature during a run will alert the sampler to quickly resolve any issues if temperature for sample storage and transport is outside of ideal range. Recording detailed field observations

is important as they can assist with explaining unexpected results and identifying potential sources of faecal contamination at a later stage if necessary. These concerns were raised with the sampling officers during the audits.

Who samples where?

Beachwatch

Collects samples at 97 ocean and harbour beaches in Sydney.

Hunter Water

Collects samples at 17 ocean beaches in Port Stephens, Newcastle and Lake Macquarie.

Sydney Water

Collects samples at 18 ocean beaches in Wollongong, Shellharbour and Kiama.

Partner councils

Ballina Shire Council, Richmond Valley Council, Port Stephens Council, Central Coast Council, Wollongong City Council, Kiama Municipal Council, Shoalhaven City Council, Eurobodalla Shire Council and Bega Valley Shire Council collect samples at popular swimming locations in their respective local government areas.





Figure 1 Percentage compliance with Beachwatch sampling protocols in 2019–2020

Laboratory analysis

Beachwatch program

To assess the reliability of laboratory data, Beachwatch sends duplicate water samples to our contracted microbiological laboratory, which is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA). Duplicate samples are collected from the same site at the same time and the laboratory is unaware that the samples are collected from a single location. The results are expected to be similar.

Due to the inherent variability of bacterial levels in environmental samples, duplicate results that are within 0.3 log-units of each other (equivalent to a halving or doubling of density on a linear scale) are considered to be acceptable. Most duplicate samples were within the acceptable limits; however, some enterococci results were outside this range, with most of these at very low bacterial densities that were below the safe swimming limit. Where values were outside this range, the site result was more often higher than the replicate, indicating that results were rarely underestimated.







Beachwatch Partnership Program



Water sample Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE





Confirmed colonies of enterococci on plate Photo: Silliker Australia Council laboratories were invited to take part in proficiency testing in November 2019 to determine the reliability of data. Laboratories with NATA accreditation or which could provide evidence of regular proficiency testing were not included in the program as they already comply with strict assessments. This year laboratories for Ballina Shire Council, Richmond Valley Council and Bega Valley Shire Council were proficiency tested.

The proficiency testing for Ballina, Richmond Valley and Central Coast councils' laboratories was conducted by IFM Quality Services Pty Ltd. IFM Quality Services despatches samples in freeze dried form that require reconstitution prior to testing.

The Ballina Shire and Richmond Valley councils' laboratory undertook proficiency testing once in December 2019 and once in January 2020. The laboratory recorded good results with enterococci counts reported within defined limits. Confidence can be placed in the accuracy of data from this laboratory and water quality results reported in the North Coast region.

Bega Valley Shire Council collected duplicate samples on three occasions during the summer season. Bega laboratory analysed one sample while the duplicate was sent to a NATA accredited laboratory for testing. Comparison of results showed four of the six samples were within the acceptable limits. Three of these are not shown in Figure 3 as the values were less than one colony forming unit per 100 millilitres. Bega laboratory reported two results outside the lower limit, and slightly lower than the bacterial count reported by the NATA accredited laboratory (Figure 3), with one outlier well below the safe swimming limit, and one just above the safe swimming limit. Bega laboratory microbial analysis has continued to show much improvement in performance over the last four years, and confidence can be placed in data reported for council's swimming sites.





Data management

Water quality results for swimming sites in the Sydney, Hunter and Illawarra regions are regularly forwarded electronically to the Beachwatch program from the contracted laboratory, and by Hunter Water and Sydney Water laboratories. The water quality data are uploaded to the Beachwatch water quality database (BACTO) for storage and data evaluation.

All partnership councils transferred water quality data to Beachwatch on a regular basis, for centralised storage on the BACTO database. In some cases, data were emailed directly from the analysing laboratory.

Quality assurance procedures for the storage of data on the centralised database followed a rigorous protocol that was developed as part of the Beachwatch program. This includes data validation procedures to identify anomalous results, restricting user access to the database, using fixed templates for upload of data, and database settings to prevent duplicate entries.

Beachwatch data is uploaded to our website and can be downloaded online from the <u>Enterococci data download</u> webpage.

Download data

Beachwatch data is available online on the <u>Enterococci data</u> <u>download</u> webpage

Community reporting

Subscribe

Daily beach pollution forecast emails are available from the DPIE <u>Subscribe to e-</u> <u>newsletters</u> webpage Providing the community with current beach water quality information is a core function of the Beachwatch programs, so reporting has been incorporated into the quality assurance program. This enables Beachwatch to measure the accuracy, consistency of content (quality) and punctuality (timeliness) of all reports released. When necessary, this information is used to improve the reporting process.

There are two main types of Beachwatch reports: Beach pollution forecasts and star rating reports.

Beach pollution forecasts

Beach pollution forecasts provide advice to assist beach users on deciding when and where to swim. The forecasts are generated daily to report on the likelihood of bacterial contamination at swimming sites in the Hunter, Central Coast, Sydney and Illawarra regions. This information can be accessed by the public through the <u>Beachwatch website</u>, and is reported on <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. The information is also sent by email to subscribers.

The forecasts are based on telemetered rainfall data and any reported pollution incidents that could affect beach water quality. The forecasts include a prediction of the likelihood of pollution at ocean beaches and harbour swimming areas, as well as daily weather, tides and coastal conditions, based on the Australian Bureau of Meteorology's Metropolitan Forecast and Coastal Waters Forecast. Forecasts are updated throughout the day if conditions change, using information provided by the Bureau of Meteorology, local councils, lifeguards, the Environment Protection Authority or Sydney Water.

Accuracy of beach pollution forecast predictions

The daily pollution forecast scenarios are analysed against bacterial data to track the accuracy of predictions. During 2019–2020, 95% of overall predictions were correct. The Pittwater forecast was the most accurate with 98% of scenarios correctly predicted. The Hunter and Illawarra forecasts also recorded high accuracy during the assessment period, with 97% of forecast scenarios correctly predicted.

When the accuracy of the pollution predictions for a swimming site declines, the prediction models are reassessed and adjusted to incorporate the changes in water quality. Regular tracking of the accuracy of pollution scenarios ensures a high level of overall accuracy is maintained.

95%

Pollution forecast prediction accuracy

Quality and timeliness of beach pollution forecast reports

99% Pollution

Pollution forecast quality and timeliness Forecast reports are audited weekly to assess the quality and punctuality of information issued on the Beachwatch website and in emails:

- The quality of the forecasts is checked for formatting, spelling, punctuation and incorrect pollution scenarios or weather information to ensure the information provided is clear and concise.
- The timeliness of the forecasts issued to the website and sent by email is assessed to ensure punctuality of our service.

In 2019–2020 an overall compliance of 99% was achieved with the beach pollution forecast reporting protocols for quality and timeliness. The breakdown of compliance performance for forecast reports on the Beachwatch website and by email is shown in Figure 4.

Minor quality non-compliance included some minor punctuation errors, and incorrect pollution scenarios or rainfall totals for some sites on some occasions. The timeliness of forecasts was occasionally impacted, usually by technical problems with the Beachwatch website or email provider.

The results from the quality assurance audits are stored in an electronic database, with a weekly summary of any detected errors distributed to Beachwatch staff for their attention and action, if necessary.




Figure 4 Percentage compliance with beach pollution forecast reporting protocols in 2019–2020



Beach warning signs Photo: Beachwatch/EES, DPIE

Star ratings reports

The star ratings provide an indication of recent bacterial water quality results, based on NHMRC (2008) guidelines, with one star indicating poor water quality, through to a fourstar rating indicating excellent water quality. The star ratings are calculated using a spreadsheet, and are quality assured prior to reporting on the Beachwatch website, with any errors in calculations detected before publishing. Star ratings are published under each region on the Beachwatch websites, see <u>About weekly star ratings for beach water quality</u>.

Most star ratings are updated weekly throughout the year for swimming sites in the Sydney, Hunter and Illawarra regions and during the summer season for regional partner councils where the frequency of sampling is reduced for some swimming sites that are often not used during winter. All historical enterococci water quality data is available on the Beachwatch website.