



## HERITAGE COUNCIL OF NSW

### State Heritage Register Committee Minutes

9:00 am – 1:45 pm  
Tuesday, 1 December 2020  
Teleconference

<b>ATTENDANCE</b>	
<b>MEMBERS</b>	
Ms Sheridan Burke	Chair
Ms Colleen Morris	Deputy Chair
Dr Wayne Johnson	Member
Mr Paul Knight	Member
Dr Brian Lindsay	Member
Dr Lisa Murray	Member
Ms Lisa Newell	Member
<b>Apologies</b>	
Mr Steven Barry	Member
Mr Frank Howarth AM PSM	Alternate Member
<b>HERITAGE NSW</b>	
Ms Pauline McKenzie	Executive Director
Mr Matthew Clarke	Director Heritage Strategy
Mr Steven Meredith	Regional Manager, Heritage Southern Region
Ms Emma Dortins	Senior Team Leader, South Metro (Item 1.2.a & 2.2)
Ms Natalie Blake	Heritage Operations Officer, South Metro (Item 2.2)
Ms Sonia Limeburner	Senior Team Leader, Strategy Team 1 (Items 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, & 4.2)
Ms Rochelle Johnston	Manager, Heritage Programs (Items 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, & 4.2)
Ms Mary Ann Hamilton	Senior Heritage Operations Officer, North Metro (Item 5.1)
<b>EXTERNAL PRESENTERS</b>	
Ms Annette Pitman	Head of Create Infrastructure (Item 2.1)
Ms Julia Pucci	Director Create Infrastructure – Priority Projects & Regions, Create NSW (Item 2.1)
<b>SECRETARIAT</b>	
Ms Olgica Lenger	Senior Team Leader, Secretariat
Ms Linda Bugarin	Assistant Secretariat Officer

## **In-Camera Session**

This item is not minuted.

### **Item 1. Welcome and formalities**

The Chair, Sheridan Burke, opened the meeting at 9:00 am, delivered an Acknowledgement of Country and welcomed attendees.

It was noted that Steven Barry was an apology and quorum had been met.

#### **1.1 Conflict of Interest Declarations**

The State Heritage Register Committee noted:

- Standing declaration by Sheridan Burke in that she is a shareholder with GML Heritage, member of Docomomo Australia and member of Woollahra Independent Planning Panel (IPP); Ms Burke declared a conflict of interest regarding Item 4.2.b Cooper Park, Bellevue Hill, and requested Colleen Morris to Chair the meeting for this agenda item.
- Standing declaration by Colleen Morris in that she is a member of the National Trust of Australia Landscape Conservation Committee.
- Standing declaration by Dr Lisa Murray of perceived conflict of interest as the employee of The City of Sydney; Dr Murray will leave the meeting room during discussions and decisions regarding Agenda Item 2.1 and 2.2 Metro Theatre, Potts Point (Feasibility Study and Recommendation to List); disclosed a perceived conflict of interest regarding Item 4.2.c The Judges Cottage, Kent St., however has not been involved with the matter personally or professionally.
- Declaration of conflict of interest by Dr Wayne Johnson regarding Ballast Point Park, in that his employer, Place Management NSW, is the owner.

#### **1.2 Out of Session Decisions**

##### **1.2.a National Arts School, Darlinghurst**

The State Heritage Register Committee noted a verbal update by Emma Dortins on the site-specific exemptions, which have been refined through several iterations and finalised.

#### **1.3 Minutes from Previous Meeting – 3 November 2020**

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the minutes of the previous ordinary meeting (3 November 2020), and discussed the following:

- Clarified the SHRC request to invite the appropriate DPIE section to provide a presentation at the SHRC March 2021 meeting (*regarding developing planning instruments for precincts*);
- Committee noted a distinction between the use of the term precincts in planning and precincts for heritage purposes. A precinct in planning is a nominated area that may include open spaces, place making and design; examples are places around new metro stations. Precincts for heritage purpose refer to rural or urban areas like Haberfield that are nominated under the *Heritage Act* into some sort of state significant management through LEPs, for example Braidwood.
- Heritage NSW and the Chair of the Heritage Council separately received presentations from the Design and Place State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) team regarding

the SEPP review project and the development of the overarching planning policy. Heritage NSW provided a preliminary feedback for the planning framework to address cultural landscapes and Aboriginal cultural heritage mapping and indicated that opportunities for broader consultation may be provided in 2021.

- SHRC sought early engagement with the Design and Place SEPP team and a presentation to Cultural Landscapes and Precincts Working Group in late January 21, and a later presentation to the SHRC in March 2021.

#### Resolution 2020-89

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Confirmed** the minutes of the previous ordinary meeting (3 November 2020) as a complete and accurate record of that meeting, with minor amendments.

Moved by Sheridan Burke and seconded by Colleen Morris.

### 1.4 Action Report

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report and a verbal update from Sonia Limeburner on specific action items listed on the SHR Listings Monthly Report - Watchlist.

Key issues discussed:

- It was suggested having the items numbered and categorised by region to allow easier access to information in the Watchlist report.
- Corrimal Coke Works, Corrimal and Weilmoringle Station Complex, Brewarrina updates are provided in monthly listings watch list.
- Weilmoringle Station Complex was filmed, researched and photographed in the *Bush Lives Bush Futures Exhibition* at Sydney Living Museum in 1998. It was suggested that the HNSW Heritage Northern Region could use this information as a reference point.
- The Heritage Northern Region team is actively working with the owners and Aboriginal community subject to IHO. The Committee enquired about the area covered by IHO.
- Corrimal Coke Works is on the Wollongong LEP and a site visit is being organised. There have been conversations with the owners and owner representatives who agree with proposed SHR curtilage to mirror that LEP boundary. They are open to SHR listing and are still thinking about it. Heritage NSW hopes to have more information by the February meeting.
- Wingello Park: The site visit has not yet been organised. It was suggested that the comment in the report reads 'now on watch list', rather than 'closed'.

### 1.5 Heritage Council Executive Director's Report

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report.

Key points raised:

- Braidwood Heritage Week March 2021 – Noting that there are several precinct nominations as well as compliance matters, it has been suggested that a site visit could take place in March 2021 during the heritage week in Braidwood. Members of the Precincts and Cultural Landscapes SHRC working group would also be interested in issues around heritage precincts. Heritage NSW will explore and discuss with the Heritage

Council about a regional visit to be organised in Braidwood during their heritage week celebration.

## **1.6 Heritage Council Projects Status Update**

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report and a verbal update from Matthew Clark.

Key issues raised:

- Heritage NSW is working through issues around management of the Heritage Conservation Fund, funding framework and budget for the Heritage Council.
- While some projects are progressing within the existing Heritage NSW funding levels, overall the projects are on hold pending resolution on the funding framework.
- Once the way forward is determined for the management of the Heritage Conservation Fund and the budget for the Heritage Council, projects' timelines and milestones will be reviewed and adjusted in accordance with available funding.
- SHRC requested that the Projects Status Update Report be updated to reflect the latest status information, including items on hold due to funding issues, in particular the Climate Change Project.

## **Item 2. External Presentation**

### **2.1 Metro Theatre, Potts Point – Feasibility Study by Create NSW**

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the presentation by Julia Pucci and Annette Pittman from Create NSW on the feasibility study regarding the SHR nomination for Metro Theatre, Potts Point.

Key points raised:

- The NSW Government and The City of Sydney co-commissioned a high-level feasibility study to consider if re-opening the Metro Theatre is commercially viable.
- The feasibility study was prepared by Tim Long, Hawkridge Entertainment, and Nick Tobin, Network Urban Solutions. The study tested market interest and demand; considered operating and capital costs, and reviewed, at a high level, the site conditions and works required to create a 1,000-seat theatre.
- The key findings of the feasibility study are:
  - There is a capacity in the Sydney theatre market for a 1,000-seat theatre;
  - A capital investment and reinvestment in existing cultural infrastructure provides greater value for money than building a new theatre;
  - A commercial theatre is economically viable, with programming content driven by the theatre constraints;
  - The Metro Theatre's classic art deco design and standard is appealing to the theatre market, with hospitality being essential to the successful operation of a theatre.
- Committee noted that the space is in a reasonably good condition and works will be required to make the theatre functional, including compliance with fire safety and accessibility requirements, while retaining the aesthetics of the theatre.

- There are no rehearsal rooms and, due to the constraints of the space, the only way to address that would be to penetrate the roof and build another level up.
- The stage is much smaller (94 square meters) than the average stage in other theatres of such capacity (between 100 and 120 square meters). To extend the stage, the proscenium would have to be moved forward and reconstructed while retaining the same look and feel. This would require structural work on the site and would be very costly, but it is possible.
- SHRC noted that the proscenium has narrow aperture comparing to modern standards, however no need has been identified to widen it.
- SHRC thanked Julia Pucci and Annette Pitman for their informative presentation.

## 2.2 Metro Theatre, Potts Point – Recommendation to List

*Dr Lisa Murray left the meeting for this agenda item.*

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the paper and verbal update by Emma Dortins and Natalie Blake.

Key points raised:

- The final decision on the Metro Theatre, Potts Point nomination had been postponed to December meeting of the SHRC to allow for a number of late submissions and the feasibility study by Create NSW to be taken into consideration.
- SHRC sub-committee comprising of Sheridan Burke, Colleen Morris and Dr Brian Lindsay have considered key changes to the assessment report requested by Abacus and TKD Architects and made recommendations for consideration by the SHRC (changes requested are at Tab 2.2A of the report).
- SHRC discussed some specific phrasing and the language used in the Statement of Significance description, including the following:
  - “streamline moderne features”: HNSW made a comparative study and felt this is an accurate phrasing.
  - “uncommon” vs “rare”: HNSW is confident that the item is rare on all three criteria (architects, theatres remaining, and stylistic rarity) and can reword the text to qualify the rarity.
  - “cultural icon” phrase: It came strongly from submissions and the public; the committee agreed to use the “culturally iconic” wording.
  - The revised wording, including the comparative analysis, will be incorporated into the Recommendation to List and Statement of Significance form in the heritage database (HOD), with the final draft circulated to sub-committee for signoff.

### Resolution 2020-90

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Considered** the changes requested by TKD Architects for listing of this item, and recommendations provided to the meeting by HNSW and subcommittee;
2. **Noted** a presentation by Create NSW on the results of a recently completed feasibility study for returning the building to active theatre use;
3. **Resolved** to progress this item to Recommendation to List under the *Heritage Act 1977*, as set out below:

- a. In accordance with section 33(1)(d) of the *Heritage Act 1977* **advise** the Minister that the item known as “The Metro Theatre (formerly Minerva Theatre)” at 28-30 Orwell Street, Potts Point, is of state heritage significance, as shown in the plan at Annexure B of the report;
  - b. **Recommend** to the Minister, in accordance with sections 32(1) and (2) of the *Heritage Act 1977*, that the Minister **direct** the listing of the item, “The Metro Theatre (formerly Minerva Theatre)” at 28-30 Orwell Street, Potts Point, on the State Heritage Register;
  - c. In accordance with section 33(1)(e) of the *Heritage Act 1977*, **give notice** of its decision to persons notified under section 33(1)(a); and
4. In accordance with section 57(2) of the *Heritage Act 1977*, **noted** that the Heritage Council Standard Exemptions will apply.

Moved by Colleen Morris and seconded by Dr Brian Lindsay

*Dr Lisa Murray re-joined the meeting.*

### Item 3. Legal, Policy and Administrative Matters

#### 3.1 Outcomes of 9 November 2020 Biannual Prioritisation

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report from Sonia Limeburner and Rochelle Johnston.

Key points raised:

- SHRC confirmed the outcomes of the SHR Biannual Prioritisation meeting held on the 9 November 2020.
- It was noted that the bi-annual prioritisation is a continuous process with six-monthly review of how the work program is progressing and what items should be reordered to ensure that the active program remains achievable and is delivered. A mix of complex and achievable nominations ensures that targets can be met with ongoing monitoring that allows for any adjustments to be made during the process.
- SHRC noted the improvements to the bi-annual prioritisation process proposed by Heritage NSW and sought more analysis of heritage significance/values rather than historic and descriptive details to be provided during the triage process.
- SHRC sought consistent wording of “likely to meet” be used with processing of SHR nominations.

#### Resolution 2020-91

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Confirmed** the prioritisation outcomes made out of session on 9 November 2020, summarised as follows:
  - a. Nominations that are likely to meet the threshold for State heritage significance and are a priority to progress for SHR listing (i.e. assigned an Active status):
    - 199 Cleveland Street, Redfern
    - Balls Head Reserve, Waverton
    - Berrys Bay Precinct, Waverton

- Dyraaba Station Homestead Complex & Cemetery, Dyraaba
- Fo Guang Shan Nan Tien Temple and Nan Tien Bridge, Berkeley
- Harris Creek Rail Bridge, Holsworthy
- **Koonenberry Mountain, Packsaddle**
- **Kwong War Chong Building, Haymarket**
- **Namoi River Railway Underbridge and Timber Floodplain Viaduct, Manilla**
- Nissen Hut, 4 Somerset Street, North Belmont
- Singleton Watermills Archaeological Site, Kurrajong
- Warrakoo Station Complex, Rufus

**Note: Items marked in bold are identified as active, high priority items**

- b. Nominations that are likely to meet the threshold for State heritage significance but not a priority for SHR listing at this time and will not be progressed (i.e. Not Prioritised status).
- Ballast Point Park, Birchgrove
  - Bronte Baths, Bronte
  - Casula Powerhouse Arts Centre, Casula
  - Nymboida Hydro-Electric Power Station Complex, Nymboida
  - Pera Bore Experimental Farm, Bourke
2. **Confirmed** that Ballast Point Park and/or Bronte Baths could be made active, if HNSW resources permitted.
3. **Noted** the SHRC discussion and consideration of the proposed SHR Listings Reform Strategic Project 2.1, particularly changes to the SHR nomination form, as documented in Attachment 1 of the report.
4. **Noted** opportunities to further improve the Biannual Prioritisation step now that the legacy SHR nominations have been resolved.
5. **Noted** the importance of the Local Government Outreach Strategic Project 1.1 for forward planning and managing the SHR nominations process.

Moved by Sheridan Burke and seconded by Dr Lisa Murray.

### Item 3.2 Managing SHR Nominations: Outcomes and Next Steps

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report and presentation from Sonia Limeburner and Rochelle Johnston on the review of legacy SHR nominations and the results of the three-step process in managing the new SHR nominations.

Key points raised:

- Referring nominations to local government as the first line of protection was one of the objectives of the SHRC. SHRC would like to track how many nominations referred to councils for local management.
- Heritage NSW is developing a policy and communications plan for managing of SHR nominations, which will be disseminated both internally and externally in due course.

- SHRC is looking to develop stronger relationships with the local government and provide support around the SHR listing processes. SHRC emphasised the importance of the Local Government Outreach Strategic Project 1.1 in the forward planning for managing SHR nominations, how local strategic planning statements are working in relation to listings, and how the SHR listings process is working in relation to planning pathways.
- SHRC discussed alternative processes for recognising the likely state significance of items not prioritised for SHR listing due to resourcing limitations. SHRC agreed to form a working group of Sheridan Burke, Colleen Morris, Lisa Newell, Dr Brian Lindsay and Dr Wayne Johnson to consider this issue with support from Sonia Limeburner and Dr Aleisha Buckler. The working group will meet in late January 2021.
- The Chair noted that the SHRC has been successful in dealing with the legacy issues and themes; that further work is required on the cultural landscapes and urban heritage areas; and preference for SHRC to work within established priority areas rather than initiating new thematic priorities that would leave the legacy items languishing.
- The Cultural Landscapes and Precincts Working Group will meet in February 2021. Members include Sheridan Burke, Colleen Morris, Lisa Newell, Paul Knight, Cristina Hay, with support from Sonia Limeburner and Dr Aleisha Buckler. The working group is seeking early engagement with the Design and Place SEPP team to better understand the overarching planning policy and integration with SHR listing processes.
- Noting the different meaning of “precincts” in planning and “precincts” in heritage, the SHRC agreed to rename the working group to Cultural Landscapes and Urban Heritage Areas.
- The Chair congratulated Sonia Limeburner for the excellent report which provides clarity for the way forward.

#### Resolution 2020-92

The State Heritage Register Committee:

- **Noted** the outcomes of the review of legacy SHR nominations and the process for managing new SHR nominations.
- **Established** a working group to look at alternative heritage recognition comprising of Dr Wayne Johnson, Dr Brian Lindsay, Lisa Newell, Sheridan Burke and Colleen Morris, supported by Sonia Limeburner and Aleisha Buckler, to meet late January 2021.
- **Noted** the importance of the Local Government Outreach Strategic Project 1.1 in the forward planning for managing SHR nominations process.
- **Noted** the importance of integrating the work of Cultural Landscapes and Urban Heritage Areas Working Group into the work program, once its outcomes are known.
- **Thanked** Heritage NSW for an excellent analytical report and **commends** Heritage NSW and the SHRC for the extensive and productive work to complete the resolution of the legacy nominations over the past two years.

Moved by Colleen Morris and seconded by Sheridan Burke.



## Item 4. Listings Matters

### 4.1 Listings Matters Monthly Report & Watchlist

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report and verbal update from Sonia Limeburner.

Key points noted:

- Two nominations have been gazetted: Sisters of Mercy Convent, Singleton and The Yanko Station Store, Jerilderie.
- Three packages are completed for final RTL decision: Thomas Dick Moveable Photographic Collection, Sydney; Lindfield Learning Village (formerly William Balmain Teachers College), Lindfield; and Coffs Harbour Jetty, Coffs Harbour.
- New Standard Exemptions and Fast Track Approval Pathway came to effect on 1 December 2020.
- Regarding Parallel Approvals Processes under the *Planning Act*, exempt and complying development, and integrated development at the local government level, the SHRC noted that Heritage NSW Customer Strategies team are taking all feedback and are making notes of what issues may be arising, which may indicate how the processes are working in that space. There will also be a survey check in the process. To date, the advice received is that there are no obvious issues. The references that exist in the planning instruments refer to exemptions under the *Heritage Act*, however any updates will be made if needed.

### 4.2 Monthly Triage of New SHR Nominations

*Colleen Morris chaired Agenda Item 4.2.b Cooper Park, Bellevue Hill.*

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report.

Key points raised:

- Cooper Park, Bellevue Hill: The Committee considered the item is likely to meet the threshold of State significance, but with adequate protection through the LEP it will not be prioritised. It will be protected on a local level and managed as such (CMP)
- McCrossins Mill, Uralla: A former IHO was refused by the Heritage Council in September. There is an approved DA adjacent to the curtilage and thus there is an urgency with this item. HNSW will make this nomination active and will write to the nominator within a week
- The Judge's House, Sydney: This has an active threat due to the adjustment of curtilage and what it means in planning terms for development potential. The item needs to return to SHRC for triage with information about the significance of the excised land. It was noted that SHRC has the ability to reject the curtilage amendment.

#### Resolution 2020-93

The State Heritage Register Committee resolved that:

1. **Cooper Park at Bellevue Hill** is likely to meet the threshold for State heritage significance but is not prioritised. The item will be adequately protected at the local level and should be managed in accordance with a CMP.
2. The nomination to reduce the SHR curtilage of **The Judge's House in Kent St. Sydney** requires specific consideration outside of the three-step process and should come back to SHRC with additional information about planning position of the potentially excised

land with a recommendation regarding the request for change of curtilage.

3. The nomination to extend the SHR curtilage of **McCrossins Mill in Uralla** requires timely/urgent follow up with Uralla Council for consideration outside of the three-step process and should be made Active and allocated to a team for progression regarding current threats, and come back to SHRC with a recommendation regarding the request for change of curtilage.

Moved by Dr Brian Lindsay and seconded by Colleen Morris.

## Item 5. Matters for Noting

### 5.1 Balls Head Coal Loader Complex – Update

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the report and verbal update from Mary Ann Hamilton.

Key points raised:

- Heritage NSW has been working closely with North Sydney Council and Transport for NSW on site specific exemptions for the land based area and site specific exemptions to allow investigation of the pier for future adaptive reuse; more work is required on site specific exemptions proposed by North Sydney Council, and further discussion is needed between Transport for NSW and North Sydney Council about what is possible in terms of adaptive reuse for the pier.
- Mary Ann Hamilton is planning to meet with North Sydney Council in December, to assist them in refining the proposed site-specific exemptions and will also be meeting with Transport for NSW.
- Parties have agreed to conserve as much as possible, but how much can be preserved can only be determined after the investigation of the adaptive reuse of the pier is completed. Timbers will be salvaged and those that can be re-used will be stored on site for future adaptation of the pier. What can't be agreed until the investigation is completed is what can be salvaged
- North Sydney proposes retention of more of the pier than TfNSW considers possible, hence investigation is required.
- Committee discussed whether the investigation of the adaptive re-use of the pier should delay the Committee making a decision on the matter.
- If the coal loader is listed with the full pier, but it is later determined there is not a viable adaptive re-use, it would likely result in a request to demolish part of an SHR listed item, which is very unusual. Establishing the level of viable adaptive reuse should be completed to accurately define what is to be listed, provide clear exemptions that allow an adaptive re-use and set clear expectations about the future of the item.
- The North Sydney Council did not support the listing of the pier element as a ruin.
- Committee sought clarification about how the listing was advertised and if it was recognised that it may be listed, then managed as a ruin, as previously indicated by the Committee. Heritage NSW confirmed that the listing was advertised as Balls Head Coal Loader and the pier as a precinct. North Sydney Council expressed concerns, based on the previous SHRC meeting minutes, that the SHRC intends to list the item as a ruin.
- Transport for NSW as owner did not make a submission.

*Next Steps*

- Heritage NSW will continue working with the North Sydney Council to refine the proposed site specific exemptions and agree to those that are reasonable and applicable to the Coal Loader land based section, and not to the whole of the Waverton Peninsula, with a report back to the SHRC at the February 2021 meeting.
- Organise a joint meeting with the North Sydney Council and Transport for NSW, to discuss the land based exemptions, but also the marine based, pier related site-specific exemptions.
- Committee considered options how to progress the nomination and whether the site-specific exemptions for future development of the land based area proposed by the North Sydney Council should be dealt with as section 60 application rather than exemptions. For example, the Committee agreed that the large vessel berthing proposal was not appropriate for a site-specific exemption and would require an approved S60 application instead.
- Results of the investigation can inform the development of exemptions related to TfNSW controlled area which are mainly required to support the development (for example any destructive testing) and implementation of a plan for management and interpretation of the pier.
- Organise a meeting with Transport for NSW and David Bradbury (NSC), including Heritage NSW Assessment team's staff is about approvals and site specific exemptions.
- Committee offered to provide any support necessary to the Heritage NSW team and confirmed its determination to finalise the process cycle and make a decision on the matter. Subcommittee consisting of Sheridan Burke and Lisa Newell or Wayne Johnson.
- Schedule a meeting late in January 2021 to discuss the progress of the matter and provide a recommendation and a timeline to the SHRC at the February 2021 SHRC meeting. Committee noted that the final decision will be driven by the findings of the investigation of the adaptive re-use of the pier.
- On a positive side, there is positive engagement and collaboration between the parties, resulting in better understanding how exemptions work.
- Committee noted that significant progress has been made by Transport for NSW in developing the Sydney Working Harbour Asset Management Strategy, which indicates their sense of responsibility and priority in addressing these issues.
- From a recent visit to the site, the Chair reported rapidly deteriorating conditions of the site, with elements literally falling off into the water and stressed that the decision has to be made with no further delays.

**Resolution 2020-94**

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Noted** the information provided in this summary paper and attachments, with concern that the matter has not progressed further.
2. **Requested** Heritage NSW to organise a meeting with the parties in late January 2021 with a view to provide a recommendation and timeline for action to the SHRC meeting in February 2021.

Moved by Sheridan Burke and seconded by Colleen Morris

## Item 6. General Matters

### 6.1 Forward Agenda

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the forward agenda and requested that it be updated to reflect the discussion at today's meeting.

Items discussed:

- SHR Reform and Priorities - SHRC would like to be included in the discussions, either at a joint meeting with the Heritage Council or the day before; to be placed on the agenda for February SHRC meeting
- Added Hunter Homesteads Study update (Cawarra, Caegwrle etc), Annandale Mural and Clydesdale to the Forward Agenda
- March meeting – presentation on SEPP from appropriate team in DPIE
- From 2021, SHR reports are to address the following (at the beginning of all listing reports):
  - What Aboriginal country they are in
  - What climate (change) stories are relevant to them (refer to the ICOMOS Future of Our Past document previously (July 2019) endorsed by the Heritage Council)

### 6.2 Matters Arising

#### 6.2.a Mulgoa Valley – Heritage Council Site Visit on 5 November 2020 – Update

The State Heritage Register Committee noted a verbal update from Colleen Morris.

Key points raised:

- SHRC is interested about what aspects of the experience of the Braidwood SHR listed area are pertinent to the management of significant cultural landscapes and urban heritage areas, including views of the local council on dealing with planning issues.
- SHRC is seeking Heritage NSW to provide feedback on a draft Fernhill Plan of Management when it is released so the Committee remains informed
- The ongoing engagement with Penrith City Council about the management of the cultural landscape of the valley as a whole. The Committee is seeking follow up on the letter sent from the Chair of the Heritage Council, which will inform the Committee on the process ahead and what they can contribute to at the February meeting

#### Resolution 2020-95

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Requested** Heritage NSW to prepare draft feedback for SHRC's consideration and recommendation regarding the SHRC action on a draft Fernhill Plan of Management, due to be released shortly.
2. **Requested** ongoing engagement with Penrith City Council on the management of the cultural landscape of the Mulgoa Valley with a briefing note to be provided at the February 2021 SHRC meeting.

Moved by Sheridan Burke and seconded by Colleen Morris

## 6.2.b Other Business

The State Heritage Register Committee noted the letter from the Chair of the Heritage Council to North Sydney Council Planning Panel regarding the MLC Building, North Sydney.

The Chair and Committee acknowledged and thanked Dr Lisa Murray for her valuable contribution and commitment to her role as SHRC member.

### Resolution 2020-96

The State Heritage Register Committee:

1. **Extended** a formal vote of thanks to Dr Lisa Murray for her valuable contributions, insights and commitment to work of SHRC, with thanks to City of Sydney Council for facilitating her engagement.

By acclamation.

## Meeting Close

There being no further items of business, the Chair, Ms Sheridan Burke, closed the meeting at 1:45 pm.



.....  
Ms Colleen Morris

**Deputy Chair, State Heritage Register Committee**

Date: 2 February 2020